Searches for Extended Higgs Sectors, Flavour Physics Anomalies and Dark Matter at the LHC

Shyam Balaji supervised by Prof. Kevin Varvell

The University of Sydney

shyam.balaji@sydney.edu.au

February 20, 2020

Overview

- The standard model
- Introduction to the LHC and ATLAS
- 3 The Higgs boson explained
- Extended Higgs sectors and the A → ZH search at ATLAS (with Prof. K. Varvell and the ATLAS collaboration)
- 5 Machine learning for event selection
- Higgs portal dark matter effective field theory (with A/Prof A. Kobakhidze)
- 7 Unitarisation of the theory
- Onitarised theory results
 - 9 Flavour physics anomalies (with Dr. R. Foot and Dr. M. A. Schmidt)
- 10 Grand unified theories and leptoquarks
 - 1 Allowed parameter region for proposed model
 - Radiative decay of neutrinos (with Dr. Y.L. Zhour and M. E. Quezada)Conclusion

• • • • • • • • • • • • •

• The Standard Model (SM) of particle physics is the theory describing three of the four known fundamental forces (the electromagnetic, weak, and strong interactions, and not including the gravitational force) in the universe

- The Standard Model (SM) of particle physics is the theory describing three of the four known fundamental forces (the electromagnetic, weak, and strong interactions, and not including the gravitational force) in the universe
- It also classifies all known elementary particles

- The Standard Model (SM) of particle physics is the theory describing three of the four known fundamental forces (the electromagnetic, weak, and strong interactions, and not including the gravitational force) in the universe
- It also classifies all known elementary particles
- Does many wonderful things, makes remarkably precise predictions, however it leaves some very important questions unanswered

- The Standard Model (SM) of particle physics is the theory describing three of the four known fundamental forces (the electromagnetic, weak, and strong interactions, and not including the gravitational force) in the universe
- It also classifies all known elementary particles
- Does many wonderful things, makes remarkably precise predictions, however it leaves some very important questions unanswered
- What is dark matter, dark energy, why is there more matter than anti-matter in the universe, how does gravity fit in, where do neutrinos get their mass etc.



Standard Model of Elementary Particles

Shyam Balaji supervised by Prof. Kevin Varve

The LHC and the ATLAS experiment

• The Large Hadron Collider (LHC) is the largest particle physics experiment in the world



The Higgs boson explained

- The Higgs field is a fundamental field of crucial importance to particle physics theory
- The Higgs field takes the same non-zero value almost everywhere
- Explains why some fields have massive particles and others don't





Extended Higgs Sectors and the $A \rightarrow ZH$ search

• Are there more Higgs particles out there

Extended Higgs Sectors and the $A \rightarrow ZH$ search

- Are there more Higgs particles out there
- Models such as the Two-Higgs Doublet (2HDM) require new heavy Higgs particles

Extended Higgs Sectors and the $A \rightarrow ZH$ search

- Are there more Higgs particles out there
- Models such as the Two-Higgs Doublet (2HDM) require new heavy Higgs particles
- Theoretical motivation is that these models can explain the matter-antimatter asymmetry observed in the universe

- Are there more Higgs particles out there
- Models such as the Two-Higgs Doublet (2HDM) require new heavy Higgs particles
- Theoretical motivation is that these models can explain the matter-antimatter asymmetry observed in the universe
- In 2HDM there are 2-Higgs doublets (instead of one like the SM), this yields 5 Higgs bosons, 3 neutral ones (two of which are *CP*-even *h* and *H*) and one *CP*-odd (A).

- Are there more Higgs particles out there
- Models such as the Two-Higgs Doublet (2HDM) require new heavy Higgs particles
- Theoretical motivation is that these models can explain the matter-antimatter asymmetry observed in the universe
- In 2HDM there are 2-Higgs doublets (instead of one like the SM), this yields 5 Higgs bosons, 3 neutral ones (two of which are *CP*-even *h* and *H*) and one *CP*-odd (A).
- We can search for these new particles A and H at the LHC!

- Are there more Higgs particles out there
- Models such as the Two-Higgs Doublet (2HDM) require new heavy Higgs particles
- Theoretical motivation is that these models can explain the matter-antimatter asymmetry observed in the universe
- In 2HDM there are 2-Higgs doublets (instead of one like the SM), this yields 5 Higgs bosons, 3 neutral ones (two of which are *CP*-even *h* and *H*) and one *CP*-odd (A).
- We can search for these new particles A and H at the LHC!
- Search for the $A \rightarrow ZH \rightarrow \ell \ell b \bar{b}$ process

Machine learning and event selection

- When we collide protons there is a huge number of events produced
- Most are standard model processes
- New physics is rare, this is because the particles produced are very heavy
- Event selection should maximise the purity of new physics events
- Apply machine learning approach

Machine learning and event selection

- When we collide protons there is a huge number of events produced
- Most are standard model processes
- New physics is rare, this is because the particles produced are very heavy
- Event selection should maximise the purity of new physics events
- Apply machine learning approach
- We apply a parametric neural network (pNN) that can scan over possible particle masses of the new states and "separate" the new physics from standard model processes by learning abstractions about the events

Machine learning and event selection

- When we collide protons there is a huge number of events produced
- Most are standard model processes
- New physics is rare, this is because the particles produced are very heavy
- Event selection should maximise the purity of new physics events
- Apply machine learning approach
- We apply a parametric neural network (pNN) that can scan over possible particle masses of the new states and "separate" the new physics from standard model processes by learning abstractions about the events
- A neural network is an artificial intelligence algorithm that mimicks the human brain

Parametric Neural Network Results



• The machine learning approach far out-performs standard cut-based approach, and the modelling in the background region is as expected, this is very promising not just for this analysis, but for many others

Shyam Balaji supervised by Prof. Kevin Varve

Particle Physics

Higgs portal dark matter effective field theory (arXiv:1812.10914)

• We hypothesise a DM Dirac fermion, χ , of mass m_{χ} . It carries no Standard Model (SM) gauged charges and, thus, the lowest order "effective" operator that describes DM interactions with the SM particles is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{\Lambda} H^{\dagger} H \bar{\chi} (\cos \xi + i \gamma_5 \sin \xi) \chi \qquad (1)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left(vh + \frac{1}{2} h^2 \right) \bar{\chi} (\cos \xi + i \gamma_5 \sin \xi) \chi ,$$

where Λ is the EFT cut-off scale parameter and ξ is the CP-violating phase.

• In the second line, we expanded the EW Higgs doublet *H* around its expectation value $v \approx 246$ GeV in the unitary gauge, $H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, v + h)^{T}$

- At low energy $E << \Lambda$, the Higgs-dark matter portal Eq. (1) is dominated by the dimension-4 $h\bar{\chi}\chi$ operators. These operators are renormalisable and also perturbative, provided $v \leq \Lambda$
- However, at high energy, the Higgs-DM interactions are dominated by non-renormalisable dimension-5 $h^2 \bar{\chi} \chi$ interactions. In fact, for $E \gtrsim \Lambda$ the scattering amplitudes described by $h^2 \bar{\chi} \chi$ operators grow as E/Λ , signalling violation of perturbative unitarity.
- This violation of unitarity is actually fictitious and reflects inapplicability of perturbative treatment to the EFT

Unitarity Violating *T*-matrix Elements

$$T_{0 \chi_{L,R}\bar{\chi}_{L,R} \to hh} = \pm \frac{((s-4m_h^2)(s-4m_\chi^2))^{\frac{1}{4}}(\sqrt{s-4m_\chi^2}\cos\xi\mp i\sqrt{s}\sin\xi)}{8\pi\sqrt{s}\Lambda} \longrightarrow \propto \frac{\sqrt{s}}{8\pi\Lambda} , \qquad (2)$$

• can compute for the time-reversed processes. Similarly, for the longitudinal EW gauge bosons ($V \equiv W^{\pm}, Z^0$)

$$T_{0 \ \chi_{L,R}\tilde{\chi}_{L,R} \to VV} = \mp \frac{(2m_V^2 - \mathfrak{s})((\mathfrak{s} - 4m_V^2)(\mathfrak{s} - 4m_\chi^2))^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\sqrt{\mathfrak{s} - 4m_\chi^2} \cos \xi \mp i\sqrt{\mathfrak{s}} \sin \xi\right)}{8\pi\sqrt{\mathfrak{s}}\Lambda(\mathfrak{s} - m_h^2 + im_h\Gamma_h)} \longrightarrow \propto \frac{\sqrt{\mathfrak{s}}}{8\pi\Lambda} , \qquad (3)$$

• Solution, use a unitarisation prescription or introduce a UV completion

$$T^{U} = \frac{T_0}{1 - iT_0^{\dagger}} , \qquad (4)$$

Direct Detection and Relic Density Results

• For the *CP*-even case where $\xi = 0$



• Top panels are for 100% dark matter and bottom panels are for 10% dark matter, unitarised theory (solid blue), original theory (dashed blue)

Our analysis found that this very popular model is now excluded fully.

 Shyam Balaji supervised by Prof. Kevin Varve
 Particle Physics
 February 20, 2020
 13/24

Flavour physics anomalies (PhysRevD.99.015029, PhysRevD.101.015026)

• There is mounting evidence for a violation of lepton flavour universality (LFU) in flavour-changing neutral current processes $b \rightarrow s \bar{\mu} \mu$ and $b \rightarrow c \tau \nu$ in recent measurements of *B* decays. The theoretically cleanest probes are the LFU ratios

$$R_{K^{(*)}} = \frac{\Gamma(\bar{B} \to \bar{K}^{(*)}\mu^+\mu^-)}{\Gamma(\bar{B} \to \bar{K}^{(*)}e^+e^-)} \quad \text{and} \quad R_{D^{(*)}} = \frac{\Gamma(B \to D^{(*)}\tau\bar{\nu})}{\Gamma(B \to D^{(*)}\ell\bar{\nu})}$$
(5)

| | observed | SM | $q^2 \; [{ m GeV}^2]$ range |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| R _K | $0.846^{+0.060+0.016}_{-0.054-0.014}$ | 1.0003 ± 0.0001 | $1 < q^2 < 6$ |
| R_{K^*} | $0.685^{+0.113}_{-0.069}\pm 0.047$ | 1.00 ± 0.01 | $1.1 < q^2 < 6$ |
| R_D | $0.340 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.013$ | 0.299 ± 0.011 | Full |
| R_{D^*} | $0.295 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.008$ | 0.252 ± 0.003 | Full |

Table: LFU ratios $R_{K^{(*)}}$ and $R_{D^{(*)}}$ where we first list the statistical error and then the systematic.

Grand Unified Theories and Leptoquarks

- Suggest a $SU(4)_C imes SU(2)_L imes U(1)_{Y'}$ "grand unified theory"
- This model introduces new "force carrying" gauge bosons, we call W^\prime

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{g_s}{\sqrt{2}} K_{ij} W'_{\mu} \bar{d}_i \gamma^{\mu} P_L \ell_j + \frac{g_s}{\sqrt{2}} K^*_{ji} W'^*_{\mu} \bar{\ell}_i \gamma^{\mu} P_L d_j - m^2_{W'} W'^*_{\mu} W'^{\mu}$$
(6)

• The presence of these W' bosons interferes with the SM processes $b \to s \bar{\mu} \mu$ and therefore can explain the $R_{K^{(*)}}$ measurements



Shyam Balaji supervised by Prof. Kevin Varve

Allowable Parameter Region for $R_{K^{(*)}}$ Anomalies



• The model has only two relevant free parameters θ which determines how each generation of down-type quark mixes with each lepton and the mass of the new particle $m_{W'}$

Grand Unified Theories and Leptoquarks

- Suggest a $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ "grand unified theory"
- This model has the same charged gauge boson W' as before, but now has a charged scalar $\tilde{\chi}$ with interactions

$$\mathcal{L} = -\left(Y_{d4}\bar{\mathbf{d}}_{R}^{\prime}n_{4} + Y_{4}\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{R}^{\prime}\mathbf{e}_{R}^{\prime c}\right)\tilde{\chi} + h.c. \tag{7}$$

- The $\tilde{\chi}$ scalar mediates $b \rightarrow c\tau \mathbf{n_4}$ enhancing the SM processes $b \rightarrow c\tau \nu$ and therefore can explain the $R_{D^{(*)}}$ measurements
- A minimal texture requires 3 new Yukawa couplings within Y_{d4} called y_3 , $Y_{c\tau}$ and $Y_{t\mu}$.

Allowable Parameter Region for $R_{D^{(*)}}$ Anomalies



 The model also makes a number of predictions for rare processes which will be tested by the LHCb experiment and the second second

Shyam Balaji supervised by Prof. Kevin Varve

Particle Physics

CP-violation in Radiative Neutrino Decay (arXiv:1910.08558)

• We introduce two new particles, one fermion ψ and one scalar ϕ with opposite electric charges Q and -Q respectively. Their couplings with neutrinos and the sterile neutrino are described by the following Yukawa interaction

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\rm NP} \supset \sum_{m=1,2,3,s} \lambda_m \bar{\psi} \phi^* P_{\sf L} \nu_m + \lambda_m^* \bar{\nu}_m \phi P_{\sf R} \psi \,, \tag{8}$$

where λ_m , with m = i, s (for i = 1, 2, 3), are complex coefficients to ν_i and ν_s , which are the active and sterile neutrino mass eigenstates



CP-violation in Radiative Neutrino Decay

• Can write an $\mathcal{O}(1)$ parameter $I_{\phi\psi}$ that parametrizes the *CP*-violation

$$I_{\phi\psi} = \frac{\mu^2 (m_s^2 + m_{\psi}^2 - m_{\phi}^2)}{m_s^4} + \frac{m_{\phi}^2 - m_{\psi}^2}{m_s^2} \log \left(\frac{m_s^2 + m_{\phi}^2 - m_{\psi}^2 - \mu^2}{m_s^2 + m_{\phi}^2 - m_{\psi}^2 + \mu^2} \right) + \frac{m_{\psi}^2}{m_s^2} \log \left(\frac{m_s^2 + m_{\psi}^2 - m_{\phi}^2 - \mu^2}{m_s^2 + m_{\psi}^2 - m_{\phi}^2 + \mu^2} \right) \quad .(9)$$

and μ^2 is defined as

$$\mu^{2} = \sqrt{m_{s}^{4} + m_{\phi}^{4} + m_{\psi}^{4} - 2m_{s}^{2}m_{\phi}^{2} - 2m_{s}^{2}m_{\psi}^{2} - 2m_{\phi}^{2}m_{\psi}^{2}}.$$
 (10)

The requirement $m_s > m_\phi + m_\psi$ leads to a positive μ^2

- Due to kinematics, the *CP*-violation in this process will produce an asymmetry in the number density of photons observed with a given polarisation, hence can probe properties of neutrinos indirectly
- Process is loop suppressed but observable in theory!
- Rich phenomenology, keV neutrinos as dark matter, seesaw mechanism and leptogensis, heavy dark matter and IceCube
- Problem remains, how do we actually experimentally measure polarisation of high energy photons coming from space, technology doesn't exist yet

• Searches for extended Higgs sectors at the LHC for using a pNN for the first time with two new Higgs particles with unknown mass, far outperforms the traditional cut-based approach

- Searches for extended Higgs sectors at the LHC for using a pNN for the first time with two new Higgs particles with unknown mass, far outperforms the traditional cut-based approach
 - This ML study along with others suggests a paradigm shift in how we analyze data in all scientific facets and beyond

- Searches for extended Higgs sectors at the LHC for using a pNN for the first time with two new Higgs particles with unknown mass, far outperforms the traditional cut-based approach
 - This ML study along with others suggests a paradigm shift in how we analyze data in all scientific facets and beyond
- Used a unitarisation procedure to exclude one of well-studied dark matter models, the "fermionic dark matter Higgs portal"

- Searches for extended Higgs sectors at the LHC for using a pNN for the first time with two new Higgs particles with unknown mass, far outperforms the traditional cut-based approach
 - This ML study along with others suggests a paradigm shift in how we analyze data in all scientific facets and beyond
- Used a unitarisation procedure to exclude one of well-studied dark matter models, the "fermionic dark matter Higgs portal"
 - Excluding these popular classes of dark matter models means that new ideas have to be put forward

- Searches for extended Higgs sectors at the LHC for using a pNN for the first time with two new Higgs particles with unknown mass, far outperforms the traditional cut-based approach
 - This ML study along with others suggests a paradigm shift in how we analyze data in all scientific facets and beyond
- Used a unitarisation procedure to exclude one of well-studied dark matter models, the "fermionic dark matter Higgs portal"
 - Excluding these popular classes of dark matter models means that new ideas have to be put forward
- Proposed "grand unified theories" that can explain the experimental tensions that we are currently seeing in the flavour sector

- Searches for extended Higgs sectors at the LHC for using a pNN for the first time with two new Higgs particles with unknown mass, far outperforms the traditional cut-based approach
 - This ML study along with others suggests a paradigm shift in how we analyze data in all scientific facets and beyond
- Used a unitarisation procedure to exclude one of well-studied dark matter models, the "fermionic dark matter Higgs portal"
 - Excluding these popular classes of dark matter models means that new ideas have to be put forward
- Proposed "grand unified theories" that can explain the experimental tensions that we are currently seeing in the flavour sector
 - If these anomalies persist, they will be one of strongest hints for new fundamental physics yet

- Searches for extended Higgs sectors at the LHC for using a pNN for the first time with two new Higgs particles with unknown mass, far outperforms the traditional cut-based approach
 - This ML study along with others suggests a paradigm shift in how we analyze data in all scientific facets and beyond
- Used a unitarisation procedure to exclude one of well-studied dark matter models, the "fermionic dark matter Higgs portal"
 - Excluding these popular classes of dark matter models means that new ideas have to be put forward
- Proposed "grand unified theories" that can explain the experimental tensions that we are currently seeing in the flavour sector
 - If these anomalies persist, they will be one of strongest hints for new fundamental physics yet
- Finally, showed that radiative neutrino decay can have *CP* violation induced at loop level and this produces an asymmetry in the circularly polarised photon signal

References

- N. Bell, G. Busoni, A. Kobakhidze, D. M. Long and M. A. Schmidt, JHEP 1608 (2016) 125 doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2016)125 [arXiv:1606.02722 [hep-ph]].
- For a review, see, S. U. Chung, J. Brose, R. Hackmann, E. Klempt, S. Spanier and C. Strassburger, Annalen Phys. 4 (1995) 404. doi:10.1002/andp.19955070504
- G. Busoni, A. De Simone, E. Morgante and A. Riotto, Phys. Lett. B 728 (2014) 412 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.11.069 [arXiv:1307.2253 [hep-ph]].
 - Y. G. Kim, K. Y. Lee, JHEP 0805 (2008) 100 doi:10.1088/JHEP08(2008)100 [arXiv:0803.2932 [hep-ph]].
- M. A. Fedderke, J. Y. Chen, E. W. Kolb and L. T. Wang, JHEP 1408 (2014) 122 doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2014)122 [arXiv:1404.2283 [hep-ph]].
- P. Athron et al. [GAMBIT Collaboration], arXiv:1809.10465 [hep-ph].

A. Beniwal, F. Rajec, C. Savage, P. Scott, C. Weniger, M. White and A. G. Williams, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) no.11, 115016 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.115016 [arXiv:1512.06458 [hep-ph]].



The End

2

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト