NLO QCD corrections for off-shell $t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$

Michele Lupattelli lupattelli@physik.rwth-aachen.de



In collaboration with: Giuseppe Bevilacqua, Huan-Yu Bi, Heribertus Bayu Hartanto, Manfred Kraus, Malgorzata Worek

Based on arXiv:2105.08404

RADCOR-LoopFest 2021 FSU, Tallahassee, FL, USA, 19 May 2021

Michele Lupattelli (RWTH Aachen)

NLO QCD ttbb

19/05/2021 1/20

The Higgs boson and the top-Yukawa coupling

2012: discovery of the Higgs boson at the LHC \implies tests on the Higgs sector.

The top-Yukawa coupling Y_t

Direct probing: $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}H$ (1% to the total $pp \rightarrow H$). The dominant decay channel of the Higgs boson is

$$H \rightarrow b\bar{b}, \qquad (\mathcal{BR} = 58\%).$$

Therefore $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}H \rightarrow t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$ is a prime ingredient to extract information on Y_t .





Features of the background

This channel is very challenging because of the so-called "Combinatorial background" \longrightarrow smearing of the Higgs boson peak in bottom-pair invariant mass.

- Combinatiorial background
 - Actual signal: $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}H \rightarrow t\bar{t}b\bar{b} \rightarrow W^+W^-b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$
 - Irreducible background: $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}b\bar{b} \rightarrow W^+W^-b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$
 - Reducible background: $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}jj \rightarrow W^+W^-b\bar{b}jj$



$t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$ state of the art

 NLO QCD calculations with stable top quarks: general idea about the size of the NLO corrections. Cannot provide reliable description of the top quark decay products and the radiation pattern.

Bredenstein, Denner, Dittmaier, Pozzorini '08, '09, '10 | Bevilacqua, Czakon, Papadopoulos, Pittau, Worek '09 | Worek '12 | Bevilacqua, Worek '14

 $t\bar{t}b\bar{b}j$ NLO QCD as an estimate of beyond NLO corrections.

Buccioni, Kallweit, Pozzorini, Zoller '19

 NLO QCD matched to parton shower (NLO+PS): information on the radiation pattern. Top quark decays omitted or performed in the PS (no spin correlations).

Kardos, Trócsányi '14 | Cascioli, Maierhöfer, Moretti, Pozzorini, Siegert '14 | Garzelli, Kardos, Trócsányi '15 | Bevilacqua, Garzelli, Kardos '17

LO spin correlated top quark decays.

Ježo, Lindert, Moretti, Pozzorini '18

 NLO QCD in the di-lepton top quark decay channel: both production and decays without approximations. All off-shell and interference effects are taken into account.

Denner, Lang, Pellen '20

Michele Lupattelli (RWTH Aachen)



Setup of the calculation

NLO QCD corrections to $pp \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu b \bar{b} b \bar{b}$ with full off-shell effects for LHC $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. The 5 flavour scheme is employed.

Full off-shell effects

- Off-shell top quarks are described by Breit-Wigner propagators
- Double-, single- and non-resonant top quark contributions are included
- All interference effects consistently incorporated at the matrix element level



Cuts

 $p_T(\ell) > 20 \,\,\mathrm{GeV}\,,$

 $|y(\ell)| < 2.5$,

 $p_T(b) > 25 \,\,\mathrm{GeV}\,,$

|y(b)| < 2.5,

Bevilacqua, Bi, Hartanto, Kraus, Lupattelli, Worek '21

Michele Lupattelli (RWTH Aachen)

NLO QCD tĒbb

HELAC-NLO



- Theoretical predictions are stored in the form of modified Les Houches Files (Alwall et al. '07) and ROOT Ntuples (Antcheva et al. '09, Bern et al. '14)
- Kinematical cuts can be changed
- New observables can be defined
- Renormalization and factorization scales can be changed, as well as the PDF sets

A complex calculation

One-loop correction type	Number of Feynman diagrams
Self-energy	93452
Vertex	88164
Box-type	49000
Pentagon-type	25876
Hexagon-type	11372
Heptagon-type	3328
Octagon-type	336
Total number	271528



Partonic Subprocess	Number of Feynman diagrams	Number of CS Dipoles	Number of NS Subtractions
$gg ightarrow e^+ \nu_e \mu^- \bar{ u}_\mu b \bar{b} b \bar{b} g$	41364	90	18
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu b\bar{b} b\bar{b} g$	9576	50	10
$gq \to e^+ \nu_e \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu b \bar{b} b \bar{b} q$	9576	50	10
$g\bar{q} ightarrow e^+ u_e \mu^- \bar{ u}_\mu b\bar{b} b\bar{b} \bar{q}$	9576	50	10

Bevilacqua, Bi, Hartanto, Kraus, Lupattelli, Worek '21

Integrated fiducial cross section

The process receives large NLO QCD corrections (89%) and significant reduction of the theoretical error going from LO to NLO.

Bevilacqua, Bi, Hartanto, Kraus, Lupattelli, Worek '21

Fixed scale

 $\mu_R = \mu_F = \mu_0 = m_t$ and NNPDF3.1

$$\begin{split} \sigma^{\rm LO} &= 6.998^{+4.525(65\%)}_{-2.569(37\%)} [\rm{scales}] \ \rm{fb} \\ \sigma^{\rm NLO} &= 13.24^{+2.33(18\%)}_{-2.89(22\%)} [\rm{scales}]^{+0.19(1\%)}_{-0.19(1\%)} [\rm{PDF}] \ \rm{fb} \end{split}$$

Dynamical scale

 $H_T = p_T(b_1) + p_T(b_2) + p_T(b_3) + p_T(b_4) + p_T(e^+) + p_T(\mu^-) + p_T^{miss}$ $\mu_R = \mu_F = \mu_0 = H_T/3 \text{ and } NNPDF3.1$

$$\begin{split} \sigma^{\rm LO} &= 6.813^{+4.338(64\%)}_{-2.481(36\%)} [\rm scales] \ \rm fb \\ \sigma^{\rm NLO} &= 13.22^{+2.66(20\%)}_{-2.95(22\%)} [\rm scales]^{+0.19(1\%)}_{-0.19(1\%)} [\rm PDF] \ \rm fb \end{split}$$

Michele Lupattelli (RWTH Aachen)

NLO QCD ttbb

Comparison with previous results

$$\mu_{0} = \mu_{\text{DLP}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(p_{T}^{miss} + \sum_{i=e^{+}, \mu^{-}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}, j} E_{T}(i) \right) + 2m_{t} \right]^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}, j} E_{T}(i) \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\text{LO Results}$$

$$\sigma_{\text{HELAC-NLO}(\text{NNPDF3.1}, \mu_{0} = \mu_{\text{DLP}}) = 5.201(2)^{+60\%}_{-35\%} \text{fb.} \\ \sigma_{\text{DLP}}^{\text{LO}(\text{NNPDF3.1}, \mu_{0} = \mu_{\text{DLP}}) = 5.198(4)^{+60\%}_{-35\%} \text{fb.} \\ \sigma_{\text{HELAC-NLO}}^{\text{NLO}(\text{NNPDF3.1}, \mu_{0} = \mu_{\text{DLP}}) = 10.28(1)^{+18\%}_{-21\%} \text{fb.} \\ \sigma_{\text{DLP}}^{\text{NLO}(\text{NNPDF3.1}, \mu_{0} = \mu_{\text{DLP}}) = 10.28(8)^{+18\%}_{-21\%} \text{fb.}$$

Denner, Lang, Pellen '20 | Bevilacqua, Bi, Hartanto, Kraus, Lupattelli, Worek '21

Comparison with ATLAS results

ATLAS cuts:

 $\begin{array}{ll} p_T(\ell) > 25 \; {\rm GeV} \,, & p_T(b) > 25 \; {\rm GeV} \,, \\ |y(\ell)| < 2.5 \,, & |y(b)| < 2.5 \,, \\ \Delta R(bb) > 0.4 \,, & \Delta R(\ell b) > 0.4 \,, \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \sigma_{e\mu+4b}^{\rm ATLAS} = (25 \pm 6.5) \; {\rm fb} \\ \sigma_{e\mu+4b}^{\rm HELAC-NLO} = (20.0 \pm 4.3) \; {\rm fb} \end{array}$

ATLAS collaboration '18 | Bevilacqua, Bi, Hartanto, Kraus, Lupattelli, Worek '21



Theoretical predictions	$\sigma_{e\mu+4b}$ [fb]
Sherpa+OpenLoops (4FS)	17.2 ± 4.2
Powheg-Box+Pythia 8 (4FS)	16.5
PowHel+Pythia 8 (5FS)	18.7
PowHel+Pythia 8 (4FS)	18.2
Helac-NLO (5FS)	19.4 ± 4.2

Differential distributions - Uncertainties



- Significant shape changes (corrections from 90% to 135%).
- Scale dependence on the order of 20-30% (dominant contribution to the theoretical error).



• PDF uncertainties small.

Differential distributions - fixed vs dynamical scale

 $t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$ is a multi-scale process.

Bevilacqua, Bi, Hartanto, Kraus, Lupattelli, Worek '21



- NLO QCD corrections [75%, 120%]
- Shape distortion of 45%

- NLO QCD corrections [-35%, 115%]
- Shape distortion of 150%
- Perturbative stability spoiled

Michele Lupattelli (RWTH Aachen)

NLO QCD tībb

Differential distributions - $\Delta R(bb)$



Bevilacqua, Bi, Hartanto, Kraus, Lupattelli, Worek '21

- NLO QCD corrections [85%, 120%] Left, [90%, 110%] Right
- Smaller shape distortion for dimensionless angular distributions (35% Left, 20% Right)

Differential distributions - M(bb)



Bevilacqua, Bi, Hartanto, Kraus, Lupattelli, Worek '21

- NLO QCD corrections [90%, 150%] Left, [80%, 110%] Right
- Shape distortion 60% Left, 30% Right

Contribution of initial state *b*-quarks

Is it justified to neglect *b*-quark from the PDF?

We studied the impact of the sub-processes involving b quarks in the initial state:

- Born-like terms: $b\bar{b}(, bb, \bar{b}\bar{b})$
- Real-subtraction terms: $b\bar{b}$, bg, $\bar{b}g(, bb, \bar{b}\bar{b})$

b-jet tagging schemes

- Charge blind tagging scheme
- Charge aware tagging scheme

We use the anti- k_t jet algorithm with R = 0.4.

Charge blind tagging scheme

The charge blind tagging scheme is sensitive to the absolute flavour and does not attempt to tag the charge of the b-jet.

Experimental point of view

- Advantage: good *b*-jet tagging efficiency \implies large event statistics.
- Disadvantage: cannot distinguish between b and \overline{b} -jets.

Recombination rules

$$bar{b}
ightarrow {f g} {f bb}
ightarrow {f g} {f ar{b}b}
ightarrow {f g} {f bar{b}}
ightarrow {f g} {f bg}
ightarrow {f b}$$

Charge aware tagging scheme

The charge aware tagging scheme is sensitive to the flavour and the charge of the b-jet.

Experimental point of view

- Advantage: can distinguish between b and \overline{b} -jets.
- Disadvantage: might reduce b-jet tagging efficiency => smaller event statistics.

Recombination rules

$$bar{b}
ightarrow g \qquad bb
ightarrow b \qquad ar{b}ar{b}
ightarrow ar{b} \ bg
ightarrow ar{b}$$

Contribution of initial state *b*-quarks - Results

Bevilacqua, Bi, Hartanto, Kraus, Lupattelli, Worek '21

LO

The effects are of the order of 0.2%.

 $\sigma_{\rm no \ b}^{\rm LO} = 6.813(3) \ {\rm fb}$ $\sigma_{\rm aware}^{\rm LO} = 6.822(3) \ {\rm fb}$ $\sigma_{\rm blind}^{\rm LO} = 6.828(3) \ {\rm fb}$

NLO

The effects are of the order of 1%.

$$\begin{split} \sigma^{\rm NLO}_{\rm no~b} &= 13.22(3)~{\rm fb} \\ \sigma^{\rm NLO}_{\rm aware} &= 13.31(3)~{\rm fb} \\ \sigma^{\rm NLO}_{\rm blind} &= 13.38(3)~{\rm fb} \end{split}$$



Summary & outlook

Summary

- LO and NLO predictions for $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$:
 - full off-shell effects (di-lepton decay channel)
 - huge NLO QCD corrections \sim 89%
 - \bullet theoretical uncertainties \sim 20%
- agreement with experimental data (ATLAS)
- contributions of initial state *b*-quarks:
 - charge blind and charge aware tagging schemes
 - LO effects up to 0.2%, NLO effects up to 1%

Outlook

- on the size of the off-shell effects using the Narrow Width Approximation
- labelling of the *b*-jets using history reconstruction (*b*-jets from top decays vs prompt *b*-jets)

Michele Lupattelli (RWTH Aachen)