Chimera baryon spectrum in the Sp(4) gauge theory



Confinement 2024, Cairns 22/08/2024

C.-J. David Lin

National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University 國立陽明交通大學

The collaboration



Jong-Wan Lee



Deog Ki Hong



Ho Hsiao, C.-J. David Lin



Ed Bennett, Biagio Lucini, Maurizio Piai

Swansea University Prifysgol Abertawe

UNIVERSITY OF PLYMOUTH Davide Vadacchino

• Motivation: why composite Higgs?

• Lattice studies: our works and the chimera baryon

Conclusion and outlook

Outline



Why composite Higgs?







However, triviality calls for UV completion



However, triviality calls for UV completion

untagged VBF tag VH tag ttH tag 0/1 jet 2 jets 0/1 jet VBF tag VH tag ttH tag 0/1 jet VBF tag VH tag ttH tag VH tag ttH tag 6

Searched up here few TeV

Higgs boson ~125 GeV

Why is the Higgs boson so light?





Lesson from QCD



Lesson from QCD









Naturally light pseudo Nambu-Goldstone bosons



Higgs boson as a PNGB

Composite Higgs models: Generic features D.B. Kaplan, H. Georgi, M. Dugan, S. Dimopoulos,... circa 1985

- Global symmetry G broken to H
- Standard model global $G_W \subset H$
- The Higgs boson $\in G/H$ $\rightarrow c.f.$, technicolour where Higgs $\in H$
- Higgs mass generated via vacuum misalignment $= v << f \sin\langle\theta\rangle, f = |\overrightarrow{F}| \sim \Lambda_{HC}$
- Top-quark mass generated *via* partial compositeness Spin-1/2 bound states mixing with top quark

8



D.B. Kaplan, 1991

Figure from G. Panico and A. Wulzer, 1506.01961



UV completion of composite Higgs models

*Two-component relativistic fermions

Name	Gauge group	ψ	χ	Baryon type
M1	SO(7)	$5 imes \mathbf{F}$	$6 imes \mathbf{Spin}$	$\psi \chi \chi$
M2	SO(9)	$5 imes \mathbf{F}$	$6 imes \mathbf{Spin}$	$\psi\chi\chi$
M3	SO(7)	$5 imes {f Spin}$	$6 imes \mathbf{F}$	$\psi\psi\chi$
M4	SO(9)	$5 imes {f Spin}$	$6 imes \mathbf{F}$	$\psi\psi\chi$
M5	Sp(4)	$5 imes \mathbf{A}_2$	$6 imes \mathbf{F}$	$\psi \chi \chi$
M6	SU(4)	$5 imes \mathbf{A}_2$	$3 imes ({f F}, {f ar F})$	$\psi \chi \chi$
M7	SO(10)	$5 imes \mathbf{F}$	$3 \times (\mathbf{Spin}, \overline{\mathbf{Spin}})$	$\psi \chi \chi$
M8	Sp(4)	$4 imes \mathbf{F}$	$6 imes \mathbf{A}_2$	$\psi\psi\chi$
M9	SO(11)	$4 imes \mathbf{Spin}$	$6 imes \mathbf{F}$	$\psi\psi\chi$
M10	SO(10)	$4 \times (\mathbf{Spin}, \overline{\mathbf{Spin}})$	$6 imes \mathbf{F}$	$\psi\psi\chi$
M11	SU(4)	$4 \times (\mathbf{F}, \overline{\mathbf{F}})$	$6 imes \mathbf{A}_2$	$\psi\psi\chi$
M12	SU(5)	$4 \times (\mathbf{F}, \overline{\mathbf{F}})$	$3 imes(\mathbf{A}_2,\overline{\mathbf{A}_2})$	$\psi\psi\chi,\psi\chi\chi$

D. Franzosi and G. Ferretti, arXiv:1905.08273

UV completion of composite Higgs models

*Two-component relativistic fermions

Name	Gauge group	ψ	χ	Baryon type
M1	SO(7)	$5 imes \mathbf{F}$	$6 imes \mathbf{Spin}$	$\psi\chi\chi$
M2	SO(9)	$5 imes \mathbf{F}$	$6 imes {f Spin}$	$\psi\chi\chi$
M3	SO(7)	$5 imes {f Spin}$	$6 imes \mathbf{F}$	$\psi\psi\chi$
M4	SO(9)	$5 imes {f Spin}$	$6 imes \mathbf{F}$	$\psi\psi\chi$
M5	Sp(4)	$5 imes \mathbf{A}_2$	$6 imes \mathbf{F}$	$\psi\chi\chi$
M6	SU(4)	$5 imes \mathbf{A}_2$	$3 imes({f F},\overline{f F})$	$\psi\chi\chi$
M7	SO(10)	$5 imes \mathbf{F}$	$3 \times (\mathbf{Spin}, \overline{\mathbf{Spin}})$	$\psi\chi\chi$
M8	Sp(4)	$4 imes \mathbf{F}$	$6 imes \mathbf{A}_2$	$\psi\psi\chi$
M9	SO(11)	$4 imes \mathbf{Spin}$	$6 imes \mathbf{F}$	$\psi\psi\chi$
M10	SO(10)	$4 \times (\mathbf{Spin}, \overline{\mathbf{Spin}})$	$6 imes \mathbf{F}$	$\psi\psi\chi$
M11	SU(4)	$4 \times (\mathbf{F}, \overline{\mathbf{F}})$	$6 imes \mathbf{A}_2$	$\psi\psi\chi$
M12	SU(5)	$4 \times (\mathbf{F}, \overline{\mathbf{F}})$	$3 imes ({f A}_2, \overline{{f A}_2})$	$\psi\psi\chi,\psi\chi\chi$

D. Franzosi and G. Ferretti, arXiv:1905.08273

UV completion of composite Higgs models

*Two-component relativistic fermions

Name	Gauge group	ψ	χ	Baryon type
M1	SO(7)	$5 imes \mathbf{F}$	$6 imes {f Spin}$	$\psi\chi\chi$
M2	SO(9)	$5 imes \mathbf{F}$	$6 imes {f Spin}$	$\psi\chi\chi$
M3	SO(7)	$5 imes {f Spin}$	$6 imes \mathbf{F}$	$\psi\psi\chi$
M4	SO(9)	$5 imes {f Spin}$	$6 imes \mathbf{F}$	$\psi\psi\chi$
M5	Sp(4)	$5 imes \mathbf{A}_2$	$6 imes \mathbf{F}$	$\psi\chi\chi$
M6	SU(4)	$5 imes \mathbf{A}_2$	$3 imes({f F},\overline{f F})$	$\psi\chi\chi$
M7	SO(10)	$5 imes \mathbf{F}$	$3 \times (\mathbf{Spin}, \overline{\mathbf{Spin}})$	$\psi\chi\chi$
M8	Sp(4)	$4 imes \mathbf{F}$	$6 imes \mathbf{A}_2$	$\psi\psi\chi$
M9	SO(11)	$4 \times \text{Spin}$	$6 \times \mathbf{F}$	$\psi\psi\chi$
M10	SO(10)	Barnard et al. arXiv:1311.6562		$\psi\psi\chi$
M11	SU(4)	$4 \times (\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F})$	$6 \times A_2$	$\psi\psi\chi$
M12	SU(5)	$4 \times (\mathbf{F}, \overline{\mathbf{F}})$	$3 imes ({f A}_2, \overline{{f A}_2})$	$\psi\psi\chi,\psi\chi\chi$

D. Franzosi and G. Ferretti, arXiv:1905.08273

Fermion representations and global symmetry M. Peskin, 1980

Gauge group representation

Complex

Real

Pseudo-real

For N_f flavours of Dirac fermions

Global symmetry breaking pattern

$$SU(N_f) \times SU(N_f) \rightarrow SU(N_f)$$

 $SU(2N_f) \rightarrow SO(2N_f)$

 $SU(2N_f) \rightarrow Sp(2N_f)$



• Sp(4) gauge theory with 2F+3AS <u>Dirac fermions</u>

Our choice of model

Sp(4) gauge theory with 2F+3AS <u>Dirac fermions</u>

4F+6AS 2-component fermions

- Sp(4) gauge theory with 2F+3AS Dirac fermions
- Breaking pattern:

 $G/H = SU(4) \times SU(6) / Sp(4) \times SO(6)$

4F+6AS 2-component fermions

- Sp(4) gauge theory with 2F+3AS <u>Dirac fermions</u>
- Breaking pattern:

 $G/H = SU(4) \times SU(6) / Sp(4) \times SO(6)$ Enhanced global symmetry due to the (pseudo-) reality

4F+6AS 2-component fermions

- Sp(4) gauge theory with 2F+3AS <u>Dirac fermions</u> 4F+6AS 2-component fermions Breaking pattern:
 - $G/H = SU(4) \times SU(6) / Sp(4) \times SO(6)$ Enhanced global symmetry due to the (pseudo-) reality



- ► 4: SM Higgs doublet
- 1: made heavy in model building

- Sp(4) gauge theory with 2F+3AS Dirac fermions Breaking pattern:
 - $G/H = SU(4) \times SU(6) / Sp(4) \times SO(6)$ Enhanced global symmetry due to the (pseudo-) reality



- ► 4: SM Higgs doublet
- ▶ 1: made heavy in model building

4F+6AS 2-component fermions



SU(3) embedded in antisymmetric representation:

 $SU(6) \rightarrow SO(6) \supset SU(3)$ QCD colour SU(3)



- Interpolating operators
 - Λ type: $\mathcal{O}_{CB,\gamma^5} = (\bar{\psi}^{1\,a}\gamma^5\psi^{2\,b}) \Omega_{bc}\chi^{k\,ca}$

- Interpolating operators
 - Λ type: $\mathcal{O}_{CB,\gamma^5} = (\bar{\psi}^{1\,a}\gamma^5\psi^{2\,b}) \Omega_{bc}\chi^{k\,ca}$



(J,R) = (1/2,5)

a, *b*, *c*: hypercolour $\Omega: 4 \times 4$ symplectic matrix J: spin *R*: irreducible rep. of the fundamental sector

- Interpolating operators
- Λ type: $\mathcal{O}_{CB,\gamma^5} = (\bar{\psi}^{1\,a}\gamma^5\psi^{2\,b}) \Omega_{bc}\chi^{k\,ca}$



(J,R) = (1/2,5)

- Σ type: $\mathcal{O}_{CB,\gamma^{\mu}} = (\bar{\psi}^{1\,a}\gamma^{\mu}\psi^{2\,b}) \Omega_{bc}\chi^{k\,ca}$

a, *b*, *c*: hypercolour $\Omega: 4 \times 4$ symplectic matrix J: spin *R*: irreducible rep. of the fundamental sector

- Interpolating operators
- Λ type: $\mathcal{O}_{CB,\gamma^5} = (\bar{\psi}^{1\,a}\gamma^5\psi^{2\,b}) \Omega_{bc}\chi^{k\,ca}$



(J,R) = (1/2,5)

- Σ type: $\mathcal{O}_{CB,\gamma^{\mu}} = (\bar{\psi}^{1\,a}\gamma^{\mu}\psi^{2\,b}) \Omega_{bc}\chi^{k\,ca}$



a, *b*, *c*: hypercolour $\Omega: 4 \times 4$ symplectic matrix J: spin *R*: irreducible rep. of the fundamental sector

- Interpolating operators
- Λ type: $\mathcal{O}_{CB,\gamma^5} = (\bar{\psi}^{1\,a}\gamma^5\psi^{2\,b}) \Omega_{bc}\chi^{k\,ca}$



(J, R) = (1/2, 5)

- Σ type: $\mathcal{O}_{CB,\gamma^{\mu}} = (\bar{\psi}^{1\,a}\gamma^{\mu}\psi^{2\,b}) \Omega_{bc}\chi^{k\,ca}$



a, *b*, *c*: hypercolour
Ω: 4 × 4 symplectic matrix *J*: spin *R*: irreducible rep. of the fundamental sector



 $\Sigma: (J, R) = (1/2, 10)$

 $\Sigma^*: (J, R) = (3/2, 10)$

• Interpolating operators

- Λ type: $\mathcal{O}_{CB,\gamma^5} = (\bar{\psi}^{1\,a}\gamma^5\psi^{2\,b}) \Omega_{bc}\chi^{k\,ca}$



(*J*, *R*) = (1/2,5) *top partner

- Σ type: $\mathcal{O}_{CB,\gamma^{\mu}} = (\bar{\psi}^{1\,a}\gamma^{\mu}\psi^{2\,b}) \Omega_{bc}\chi^{k\,ca}$



a, *b*, *c*: hypercolour
Ω: 4 × 4 symplectic matrix *J*: spin *R*: irreducible rep. of the fundamental sector



 $\Sigma: (J, R) = (1/2, 10)$ *top partner

 $\Sigma^*: (J, R) = (3/2, 10)$

Interpolating operators

- Λ type: $\mathcal{O}_{CB,\gamma^5} = (\bar{\psi}^{1\,a}\gamma^5\psi^{2\,b}) \Omega_{bc}\chi^{k\,ca}$



(J, R) = (1/2, 5)*top partner

- Σ type: $\mathcal{O}_{CB,\gamma^{\mu}} = (\bar{\psi}^{1\,a}\gamma^{\mu}\psi^{2\,b}) \Omega_{bc}\chi^{k\,ca}$



a, *b*, *c*: hypercolour $\Omega: 4 \times 4$ symplectic matrix J: spin *R*: irreducible rep. of the fundamental sector



 $\Sigma: (J, R) = (1/2, 10)$ *top partner

 $\Sigma^*: (J, R) = (3/2, 10)$

 $m_{\rm top} \sim 1/m_{\rm CB}$

Lattice studies of Sp(4) gauge theory

Major works from our collaboration



- F. and AS. Meson spectra [1712.04220,1912.06505]
- Glueball [2010.15781]
- Topology [2205.09254, 2205.09364]
- Chimera baryon [2311.14663]
- large-N meson [2312.08465]

Review: Sp(2N) [2304.01070]



Fully dynamical

- Parameter scan[2202.05516]
- GRID with Sp(2N) [2306.11649]
- Singlet meson [2405.05765]
- Spectral densities [2405.01388]







Quenched chimera baryons

Scan of parameter space

• Wilson plaquette and Wilson fermion actions

Ensemble	β	$N_t \times N_s^3$	$\langle P \rangle$	w_0/a
QB1	7.62	48×24^3	0.6018898(94)	1.448(3)
QB2	7.7	60×48^3	0.6088000(35)	1.6070(19)
QB3	7.85	60×48^3	0.6203809(28)	1.944(3)
QB4	8.0	60×48^3	0.6307425(27)	2.3149(12)
QB5	8.2	60×48^3	0.6432302(25)	2.8812(21)




Parity partners: who is lighter?

$$= P_{\pm} C_{\rm CB}(t)$$

$$= P_{\pm} C_{\rm CB}(t)$$



Typical mass hierarchy





Typical mass hierarchy



★ 7.85 **♦** 8.0 **♦** 8.2













▶ Fit to analytic terms in baryon chiral perturbation theory

 $m_{\rm CB} = m_{CB}^{\chi} + F_2 \hat{m}_{\rm PS}^2 + A_1 \hat{m}_{\rm ps}^2 + L_1 \hat{a}$ $+F_3\hat{m}_{PS}^3+A_3\hat{m}_{ps}^3+L_{2F}\hat{a}\hat{m}_{PS}^2+L_{2A}\hat{a}\hat{m}_{ps}^2$ $+F_4\hat{m}_{PS}^4+A_4\hat{m}_{ps}^4+C_4\hat{m}_{PS}^2\hat{m}_{ps}^2$







Fit to analytic terms in baryon chiral perturbation theory

 $m_{\rm CB} = m_{CB}^{\chi} + F_2 \hat{m}_{\rm PS}^2 + A_1 \hat{m}_{\rm ps}^2 + L_1 \hat{a}$ $+F_3\hat{m}_{PS}^3+A_3\hat{m}_{ps}^3+L_{2F}\hat{a}\hat{m}_{PS}^2+L_{2A}\hat{a}\hat{m}_{ps}^2$ $+F_4\hat{m}_{PS}^4+A_4\hat{m}_{ps}^4+C_4\hat{m}_{PS}^2\hat{m}_{ps}^2$

• Cannot obtain stable fits







Fit to analytic terms in baryon chiral perturbation theory

 $m_{\rm CB} = m_{CB}^{\chi} + F_2 \hat{m}_{\rm PS}^2 + A_1 \hat{m}_{\rm ps}^2 + L_1 \hat{a}$ $+F_3\hat{m}_{PS}^3+A_3\hat{m}_{ps}^3+L_{2F}\hat{a}\hat{m}_{PS}^2+L_{2A}\hat{a}\hat{m}_{ps}^2$ $+F_4\hat{m}_{PS}^4+A_4\hat{m}_{ps}^4+C_4\hat{m}_{PS}^2\hat{m}_{ps}^2$

Cannot obtain stable fits

• Removing heavy-mass data does not help





Fit to analytic terms in baryon chiral perturbation theory

$$m_{\rm CB} = m_{CB}^{\chi} + F_2 \hat{m}_{\rm PS}^2 + A_1 \hat{m}_{\rm ps}^2 + L_1 + F_3 \hat{m}_{\rm PS}^3 + A_3 \hat{m}_{\rm ps}^3 + L_2 + F_4 \hat{m}_{\rm PS}^4 + A_4 \hat{m}_{\rm ps}^4 + C_4 \hat{m}$$

 \hat{a}

 $_{2F}\hat{a}\hat{m}_{PS}^2 + L_{2A}\hat{a}\hat{m}_{PS}^2$

 $_4\hat{m}_{\rm PS}^2\hat{m}_{\rm ps}^2$

Fit to analytic terms in baryon chiral perturbation theory

$$m_{\rm CB} = m_{CB}^{\chi} + F_2 \hat{m}_{\rm PS}^2 + A_1 \hat{m}_{\rm ps}^2 + L_1 + F_3 \hat{m}_{\rm PS}^3 + A_3 \hat{m}_{\rm ps}^3 + L_2 + F_4 \hat{m}_{\rm PS}^4 + A_4 \hat{m}_{\rm ps}^4 + C_4 \hat{m}$$

 $a^{1}\hat{a}$ - - - - M2 $c_{F}\hat{a}\hat{m}_{PS}^{2} + L_{2A}\hat{a}\hat{m}_{ps}^{2}$

 $\hat{m}_{\rm PS}^2 \hat{m}_{\rm ps}^2$

Fit to analytic terms in baryon chiral perturbation theory

$$m_{\rm CB} = m_{CB}^{\chi} + F_2 \hat{m}_{\rm PS}^2 + A_1 \hat{m}_{\rm ps}^2 + L_1 + F_3 \hat{m}_{\rm PS}^3 + A_3 \hat{m}_{\rm ps}^3 + L_2 + F_4 \hat{m}_{\rm PS}^4 + A_4 \hat{m}_{\rm ps}^4 + C_4 \hat{m}$$

 $\hat{a} = - - - M2$ $E_F \hat{a} \hat{m}_{PS}^2 + L_{2A} \hat{a} \hat{m}_{ps}^2 = - - M3$

 $\hat{m}_{\rm PS}^2 \hat{m}_{\rm ps}^2$

Fit to analytic terms in baryon chiral perturbation theory

$$m_{\rm CB} = m_{CB}^{\chi} + F_2 \hat{m}_{\rm PS}^2 + A_1 \hat{m}_{\rm ps}^2 + L_1$$
$$+ F_3 \hat{m}_{\rm PS}^3 + A_3 \hat{m}_{\rm ps}^3 + L_{2I}$$
$$+ F_4 \hat{m}_{\rm PS}^4 + A_4 \hat{m}_{\rm ps}^4 + C_4 \tilde{m}_{\rm ps}^4$$
MF4

 $\hat{a} = - - - M2$ $F^{a}\hat{m}^{2}_{PS} + L_{2A}\hat{a}\hat{m}^{2}_{ps} = - - M3$ $\hat{a}^{2}\hat{a}^{2}\hat{a}^{2}$

 $\hat{m}_{\rm PS}^2 \hat{m}_{\rm ps}^2$

Fit to analytic terms in baryon chiral perturbation theory

 $m_{\rm CB} = m_{CB}^{\chi} + F_2 \hat{m}_{\rm PS}^2 + A_1 \hat{m}_{\rm ps}^2 + L_1 \hat{a}$ $+F_3\hat{m}_{PS}^3+A_3\hat{m}_{ps}^3+L_{2F}\hat{a}\hat{m}_{PS}^2+L_{2A}\hat{a}\hat{m}_{ps}^2$ $+F_4\hat{m}_{PS}^4+A_4\hat{m}_{ps}^4+C_4\hat{m}_{PS}^2\hat{m}_{ps}^2$ MF4 MA4

M2 **— — — — M**3

Fit to analytic terms in baryon chiral perturbation theory

 $m_{\rm CB} = m_{CB}^{\chi} + F_2 \hat{m}_{\rm PS}^2 + A_1 \hat{m}_{\rm ps}^2 + L_1$ $+F_3\hat{m}_{PS}^3+A_3\hat{m}_{ps}^3+L_{2F}$ $+F_4\hat{m}_{PS}^4+A_4\hat{m}_{ps}^4+C_4\hat{m}_{PS}^2\hat{m}_{ps}^2$ MF4 MA4

$$\hat{a} = --- M2$$

 $\hat{a} \hat{m}_{PS}^2 + L_{2A} \hat{a} \hat{m}_{ps}^2 = --- M3$

- MC4





-1.00-

Fit Ansatz	\hat{m}_{CB}^{χ}	$\hat{m}_{\rm PS}^2$	$\hat{m}_{\rm ps}^2$	$\hat{m}_{\rm PS}^3$	$\hat{m}_{\rm ps}^3$	\hat{m}_{PS}^4	$\hat{m}_{\rm ps}^4$	$\hat{m}_{\mathrm{PS}}^2 \hat{m}_{\mathrm{ps}}^2$	\hat{a}	$\hat{m}_{\rm PS}^2 \hat{a}$	$\hat{m}_{\rm ps}^2 \hat{a}$
M2	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	-		-		<u> </u>	\checkmark	-	
M3	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	_	_	_	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
MF4	-1.02-	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	_	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
MA4	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
MC4	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	_	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark



١	۱	
	ļ	

U

• Try the five fit ansatze

• Try the five fit ansatze

• Systematically include data points with $am_{\rm PS} < 1$ and $am_{\rm ps} < 1$

• Try the five fit ansatze

• Systematically include data points with $am_{PS} < 1$ and $am_{ps} < 1$ $\rightarrow 263$ data sets

• Try the five fit ansatze

• Systematically include data points with $am_{PS} < 1$ and $am_{ps} < 1$ $\rightarrow 263$ data sets

• $263 \times 5 = 1315$ analysis procedures

- Try the five fit ansatze
- Systematically include data points with $am_{PS} < 1$ and $am_{ps} < 1$ \rightarrow 263 data sets
- $263 \times 5 = 1315$ analysis procedures
- For each procedure, compute AIC $\equiv \chi^2 + 2k + 2N_{cut}$

- Try the five fit ansatze
- Systematically include data points with $am_{PS} < 1$ and $am_{ps} < 1$ → 263 data sets
- $263 \times 5 = 1315$ analysis procedures
- For each procedure, compute AIC $\equiv \chi^2 + 2k + 2N_{cut}$

of fit parameters

- Try the five fit ansatze
- Systematically include data points with $am_{PS} < 1$ and $am_{ps} < 1$ → 263 data sets
- $263 \times 5 = 1315$ analysis procedures
- For each procedure, compute AIC $\equiv \chi^2 + 2k + 2N_{cut}$

of removed data points # of fit parameters



 $\hat{m}_{\mathrm{PS,cut}}$

• $263 \times 5 = 1315$ analysis procedures

• For each procedure, compute AIC $\equiv \chi^2 + 2k + 2N_{cut}$

• Probability weight $W = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \exp \frac{1}$

of removed data points # of fit parameters

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{AIC}\right]$$

1.42 -Polynomial terms in baryon chiral 0.97perturbation theory 0.524 $m_{\rm CB} = m_{CB}^{\chi} + F_2 \hat{m}_{\rm PS}^2 + A_1 \hat{m}_{\rm ps}^2 + L_1 \hat{a}$ ----- M2 1.87M3 ---- $+F_3\hat{m}_{PS}^3 + A_3\hat{m}_{ps}^3 + L_{2F}\hat{a}\hat{m}_{PS}^2 + L_{2A}\hat{a}\hat{m}_{ps}^2$ 1.42 - $\hat{m}_{
m ps,cut}$ $+F_4\hat{m}_{PS}^4 + A_4\hat{m}_{ps}^4 + C_4\hat{m}_{PS}^2\hat{m}_{ps}^2$ 0.97 · MA4 MF4 MC4 0.52 -

1.87

Fit results for $m_{\Lambda_{CB}}$







 $\hat{m}_{\mathrm{PS,cut}}$



 $\Lambda_{\rm CB}$

















Preliminary results from dynamical simulation



Effective mass plots of chimera baryons measured on fully dynamical ensemble M2. The masses displayed in the legend are extracted by solving the GEVP, using various smearing-level operators as the basis.

Preliminary results from dynamical simulation





 $\mathbf{p} \circ \mathbf{o} \circ \mathbf{o}$

 E_1

 $rac{1}{2}$



Conclusion and outlook

First lattice study of the chimera baryon masses in the Sp(4) gauge theory
 Key difference from QCD: Λ_{CB} may not be lighter than Σ_{CB}

• Fully-dynamical simulations in progress

• Mixing strength with the top quark, also large anomalous dimension

• Also in the Sp(4) gauge theory: inputs for the Higgs potential



Backup slides

Fit results for $m_{\Sigma_{CB}}$

Polynomial terms in baryon chiral perturbation theory $m_{\rm CB} = m_{CB}^{\chi} + F_2 \hat{m}_{\rm PS}^2 + A_1 \hat{m}_{\rm ps}^2 + L_1 \hat{a}$ ----- M2 M3 ---- $+F_3\hat{m}_{PS}^3 + A_3\hat{m}_{ps}^3 + L_{2F}\hat{a}\hat{m}_{PS}^2 + L_{2A}\hat{a}\hat{m}_{ps}^2$ $+F_4\hat{m}_{PS}^4+A_4\hat{m}_{ps}^4+C_4\hat{m}_{PS}^2\hat{m}_{ps}^2$ MA4 MF4 M4C



 $\hat{m}_{
m ps,cut}$



























 $0.52 \ 0.82 \ 1.07$



 $\hat{m}_{
m ps,cut}$

Polynomial terms in baryon chiral perturbation theory

 $m_{\rm CB} = m_{CB}^{\chi} + F_2 \hat{m}_{\rm PS}^2 + A_1 \hat{m}_{\rm ps}^2 + L_1 \hat{a}$ ----- M2 M3 ---- $+F_3\hat{m}_{PS}^3 + A_3\hat{m}_{ps}^3 + L_{2F}\hat{a}\hat{m}_{PS}^2 + L_{2A}\hat{a}\hat{m}_{ps}^2$ $+F_4\hat{m}_{PS}^4+A_4\hat{m}_{ps}^4+C_4\hat{m}_{PS}^2\hat{m}_{ps}^2$ MA4 MF4 M4C

Fit results for $m_{\Sigma_{CB}^*}$



 $\hat{m}_{\mathrm{PS,cut}}$



Gauge group repn and global coset

 $\bigstar \text{Real}: (T^a)^* = \\ \bigstar \text{Pseudoreal}: (T^a)^* =$

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_L \\ \Psi_R \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \psi_\alpha \\ \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\beta}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_\alpha \\ (\chi^\beta)^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad \overline{\Psi}\Psi = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\chi^{ia}_{\beta}\psi_{\alpha ia} + \text{h.c.}$$

gauge repncondensateComplex $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\psi^{i(\bar{r})}_{\beta}\psi^{(r)}_{\alpha i} + h.c.$ Real $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\psi^{ia}_{\beta}\psi^{b}_{\alpha i}S^{-1}_{ab}$ Pseudoreal $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\psi^{ia}_{\beta}\psi^{jb}_{\alpha}S^{-1}_{ab}E_{ij}$

M. Peskin, 1980

$$(T^{a})^{\mathrm{T}} = -S^{-1}T^{a}S, \qquad SS^{*} = 1.$$

 $(T^{a})^{\mathrm{T}} = -S^{-1}T^{a}S, \qquad SS^{*} = -1.$

condensate global symmetry

 $S_{\alpha i}^{(r)} + \text{h.c.} \qquad SU(N_f) \times SU(N_f) \rightarrow SU(N_f)$

 $\psi^b_{\alpha i} S^{-1}_{ab} \qquad SU(2N_f) \to SO(2N_f)$

 $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\psi^{ia}_{\beta}\psi^{jb}_{\alpha}S^{-1}_{ab}E_{ij} \qquad SU(2N_f) \to Sp(2N_f)$

The top partner and the top mass

$$\Psi_{ij}^{\alpha} = (\psi_i \chi^{\alpha} \psi_j)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{mix}} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \lambda_1 M_* \left(\frac{M_*}{\Lambda} \right)^{d_{\Psi} - 5/2} \Psi_1^T \tilde{C} t^c + \lambda_2 M_* \left(\frac{M_*}{\Lambda} \right)^{d_{\Psi} c - 5/2} t^T \tilde{C} \Psi_2^c + \\ &+ \lambda M_* \left[\Psi_1^T \tilde{C} \Psi_1^c + \Psi_2^T \tilde{C} \Psi_2^c \right] + y v_W \left[\Psi_1^T \tilde{C} \Psi_2^c + \Psi_2^T \tilde{C} \Psi_1^c \right] \right\} + \mathrm{h.c.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} m_t^2 &\simeq \frac{\lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2 y^2 \left(\frac{M_*}{\Lambda} \right)^{2d_{\Psi} + 2d_{\Psi} c - 10} v_W^2 M_*^4}{m_1^2 m_2^2} \quad \mathrm{where} \quad \\ m_t^2 &\simeq \left(\lambda^2 + \lambda_1^2 \left(\frac{M_*}{\Lambda} \right)^{2d_{\Psi} - 5} \right) M_*^2, \end{aligned}$$

IR conformality with more fermion flavours? Four-fermion operators

 $_{\boldsymbol{j}}), \Psi_{\boldsymbol{i}\boldsymbol{j}}^{\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = (\psi_{\boldsymbol{i}}\chi^{\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\psi_{\boldsymbol{j}})$

- \bigstar Need $d_{\Psi} = d_{\Psi^c} < 5/2$, ie, large anomalous dimension
- **★** These couplings can be important for Higgs potential
Composite Higgs with Sp(4) gauge group

J. Barnard, T. Gherghetta, T.S. Ray, 2014

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \mbox{Field} & Sp(4) & g \\ \hline A_{\mu} & 10 \\ \psi & 4 \end{array} \end{array}$$

Two Dirac fermions in the fundamental repn pseudoreal

\star The Higss doublet in the coset SU(4)/Sp(4)

 \star The SM $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ in the unbroken global Sp(4)

