## Angular power spectrum analysis on current and future high-energy neutrino data

# **GRAPPA**<sup>\*</sup>

GRavitation AstroParticle Physics Amsterdam

## **Ariane Dekker TeVPA 2019**

Dekker, Ando, JCAP 02 (2019) 002 Dekker, Chianese, Ando, arXiv: 1910.12917





## **Astrophysical Sources**

p-γ

### **Photo-hadronic interactions**

Active Galactic Nuclei Blazars (4 – 6 %)

Gamma-Ray Bursts

## p-p

### **Hadro-nuclear interactions**

Starburst Galaxies Galaxy clusters



## **Astrophysical Sources**

p- $\gamma$ 

### **Photo-hadronic interactions**

### Active Galactic Nuclei Blazars (4 - 6%)

Gamma-Ray Bursts

## p-p

### **Hadro-nuclear interactions**

**Starburst Galaxies** Galaxy clusters

### **Dark Matter**

4



## KM3NeT

IceCube



### **KM3NeT**

- $\nu$  Construction phase
- $\nu$  High angular resolution

### $\nu$ View on Galactic Centre with TG

### IceCube

- $\nu$  10yr observations
- $\nu$  Cubic km of Antarctic ice
- $\nu$  IceCube-Gen2

## IceCube observations

### $\nu$ HESE and Through-Going data sample

- $\boldsymbol{\nu}$  Isotropic distribution
- $\nu$  Correlation with source catalogs
- $\nu$  Sources unknown



IceCube Collaboration



## Angular power spectrum analysis Statistical distributions Monte Carlo method







 $\frac{dN_s}{dF} \propto \begin{cases} F^{-2.5} & F_{\star} < F \\ F^{-1.5} & F_0 < F < F_{\star} \end{cases}$  Source-flux distribution









 $\frac{dN_s}{dF} \propto \begin{cases} F^{-2.5} & F_{\star} < F \\ F^{-1.5} & F_0 < F < F_{\star} \end{cases}$  Source-flux distribution

 $4\pi I_{\nu} = \langle F \rangle \propto N_{\star} F_{\star}$ 

Mean





 $\frac{dN_s}{dF} \propto \begin{cases} F^{-2.5} & F_{\star} < F \\ F^{-1.5} & F_0 < F < F_{\star} \end{cases}$  Source-flux distribution





/ /

 $4\pi I_{\nu} = \langle F \rangle \propto N_{\star} F_{\star}$ 

### Mean



**Angular Power Spectrum** 









## Blazars: $N_{\star} = 6 \cdot 10^2$ Starburst galaxies: $N_{\star} = 10^7$











200-yr IceCube





### 200-yr IceCube



### Angular Power spectrum

$$N(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{\ell m} a_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi)$$
$$C_l = \frac{1}{2l+1} \sum_m |a_l^m|^2$$

21 observed events with  $E_{\nu} > 50 \,\mathrm{TeV}$ ,

Constrain  $N_{\star} < 82$ 

10 <sup>-1</sup>	
10 <sup>-2</sup>	
10 <sup>-3</sup>	

## 2-year lceCube











## Heavy Dark Matter

 Tension between HESE (full sky) and **Through-Going (Northern** hemisphere)

$$\frac{d\Phi_{\nu}}{dE_{\nu}} = \Phi_0 \left(\frac{E_{\nu}}{100 \text{TeV}}\right)^{\gamma}$$

$$\Phi^{astro} = 3.5 - 10^{-18} S_{-1} S$$

![](_page_19_Figure_4.jpeg)

J. Stettner, 2019

![](_page_19_Picture_7.jpeg)

## **Heavy Dark Matter**

 Tension between HESE (full sky) and **Through-Going (Northern** hemisphere)

- HESE best-fit  $\gamma = 2.89$
- **1st order Fermi-acceleration**

 $2.0 \leq \gamma \leq 2.2$ 

- **Excess of events for single** component (IC & ANTARES)
- 2-component

![](_page_20_Figure_7.jpeg)

J. Stettner, 2019

![](_page_20_Picture_10.jpeg)

## **Heavy Dark Matter**

Tension between HESE (full sky) and • **Through-Going (Northern** hemisphere)

- **DM** contributes to Extra-Galactic and Galactic emission
- Cannot produce too much anisotropy -> constrain DM parameters

![](_page_21_Figure_4.jpeg)

J. Stettner, 2019

![](_page_21_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

$$(1.44 \cdot \left(\frac{E}{100 \text{TeV}}\right)^{-2.28} \cdot 10^{-18} \text{GeV}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$$

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

$$\frac{6.45}{3} \cdot \left(\frac{E}{100 \text{TeV}}\right)^{-2.89} \cdot 10^{-18} \text{GeV}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$$

$$(1.44 \cdot \left(\frac{E}{100 \text{TeV}}\right)^{-2.28} \cdot 10^{-18} \text{GeV}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$$

![](_page_23_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Null hypothesis (Astro)

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Model (Astro + Decaying DM)

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

## **MC Simulations**

**10-yr IceCube-Gen2 HESE events** 

### Model (Astro + Annihilating DM)

![](_page_24_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

### 33 observed events (60-200 TeV)

### Free parameter:

**Cross section & Lifetime** 

### **Model**

 $DM \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ ,  $m_{DM} = 400 \text{ TeV}$  $DMDM \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-, m_{DM} = 200 \text{ TeV}$ NFW density profile

## 6-year HESE data

![](_page_25_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_9.jpeg)

## Future sensitivity Decay

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

- 10-yr exposure
- ★ Best-fit DM component
- Gamma-ray constraints

## Future sensitivity Annihilation

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

- 10-yr exposure
- Gamma-ray constraints
- Constraint by unitary

- *ν* Angular Power Spectrum powerful probe
- $\nu\,$  2-year of IceCube data with 21 events already constrains  $N_{\star}>82$
- $\nu$  With 10-yr IceCube-Gen2 & KM3NeT exposure we can constrain bright sources
- $\nu$  Constrain DM parameters with IceCube HESE and TG KM3NeT exposure
- $\nu$  Using only isotropic/anisotropic features
- $\nu$  Poster Marco Chianese on DM constraints with neutrino detectors

## Summary

## **Backup slides**

### P-value 10-year IceCube-Gen2 Annihilation

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_3.jpeg)

## P-value 10-year IceCube-Gen2 Decay

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

## **Source-flux distribution**

### **Olber's paradox**

$$\beta = 1.5$$

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

Homogeneous Univers, **Euclidean space** 

$$F = \frac{L}{4\pi r^2}, \ \rho = \frac{N}{V}$$

$$\frac{dN}{dF} = \frac{dN}{dr}\frac{dr}{dF} = F^{-5/2}$$

$$\alpha = 2.5$$

![](_page_32_Figure_9.jpeg)