## QED theory of the *g* factor of Li-like ions

V. A. Yerokhin, Z. Harman, and C. H. Keitel

Max Planck Institute for Nuclear Physics, Saupfercheckweg 1, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany

Measurements of g-factors of light few-electron ions are combined with advanced *ab initio* theoretical calculations to provide one of the most stringent tests of the bound-state QED theory and the most accurate determination of the electron mass [1, 2, 3]. For light hydrogen-like ions such as  $C^{5+}$  and  $O^{7+}$ , the current theoretical predictions are capable of matching the  $10^{-11}$  relative precision level achievable in modern experiments. For lithium-like ions, however, the theoretical precision is on the level of  $10^{-9}$  which is an order of magnitude less accurate than the experimental results. Moreover, there was some tension reported in the literature between the theoretical values [4, 5, 6, 7] and experimental results for lithium-like ions.

We here discuss the present status and recent advances in the QED theory of g-factor of light lithium-like ions. Ab initio calculations are reported for the electron-structure, self-energy screening, and vacuum-polarization screening effects, without any expansion in the nuclear binding strength parameter  $Z\alpha$ . Calculations are carried out for different screening potentials, thus varying the starting zeroth-order approximation of the perturbation theory. Comparison of the results obtained with different starting potentials allowed us to access the theoretical uncertainty due to omitted higher-order electron-correlation effects. A subset of higher-order effects for the Coulomb starting potential was calculated and found to yield a surprisingly large numerical contribution. The resulting theoretical values of the g factor of Li-like silicon and calcium are found to be in good agreement with the experimental results.

- [2] F. Köhler, K. Blaum, M. Block, S. Chenmarev, S. Eliseev, D. A. Glazov, M. Goncharov, J. Hou, A. Kracke, D. A. Nesterenko, Y. N. Novikov, W. Quint, E. Minaya Ramirez, V. M. Shabaev, S. Sturm, A. V. Volotka, and G. Werth, Nat. Comm. 7, 10246 (2016).
- [3] T. Sailer et al., Nature **606**, 479 (2022).
- [4] D. A. Glazov, F. Köhler-Langes, A. V. Volotka, K. Blaum, F. Heiße, G. Plunien, W. Quint, S. Rau, V. M. Shabaev, S. Sturm, and G. Werth, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 173001 (2019).
- [5] V. A. Yerokhin, K. Pachucki, M. Puchalski, C. H. Keitel, and Z. Harman, Phys. Rev. A 102, 022815 (2020).
- [6] V. A. Yerokhin, C. H. Keitel, and Z. Harman, Phys. Rev. A 104, 022814 (2021).
- [7] V. P. Kosheleva, A. V. Volotka, D. A. Glazov, D. V. Zinenko, and S. Fritzsche, Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 103001 (2022).

S. Sturm, F. Köhler, J. Zatorski, A. Wagner, Z. Harman, G. Werth, W. Quint, C. H. Keitel, and K. Blaum, Nature 506, 467–470 (2014).