2025.6.10 HPNP2025(Osaka University)

Spontaneous CP violation, sterile neutrino dark matter and leptogenesis

Norimi Yokozaki (Zhejiang university)

Jiang, **NY**, Phys.Lett.B 862 (2025) 139331

Strong CP problem

- The QCD vacuum state, which is an eigenstate of the both small and large gauge transformations, is parametrized by theta-term

$$\mathcal{L} \ni \frac{g_s^2 \bar{\theta}}{16\pi^2} \mathrm{tr}(G_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}) \qquad \bar{\theta} = \theta_0 + \arg\det(M_u) + \arg\det(M_d)$$

Non-zero $\bar{\theta}$ induces neutron EDM $(\propto \bar{\theta})$ $\quad |d_n| < 1.8 \times 10^{-26}\, e\,\mathrm{cm}$ (from experiment)

[Phys.Rev.Lett. 124 (2020) 8, 081803]

Constraint: $\bar{\theta} \lesssim 10^{-10}$ ⟷ Expectation: $\bar{\theta} \sim 1$

tension

No anthropic reason

[Ubaldi, Phys.Rev.D 81 (2010) 025011]

Spontaneous CP violation (SCPV)

- The CP is an exact symmetry of the Lagrangian but the ground state breaks it
- The theta-term is absent and all the couplings are real
- The CP symmetry is spontaneously broken and the CKM phase is generated, keeping $\arg\det(M_q) \approx 0$

$\bar{\theta} < 10^{-10}$

Challenges:

- To keep $\arg\det(M_q) < O(10^{-10})$
- To generate the baryon asymmetry of the universe (no CPV in the Lagrangian, c.f. Sakharov 3 conditions)
- Unlike axion solution, dark matter is not included

The minimal model (Bento-Branco-Parada)

- Add a new scalar that has a complex VEV
- The new scalar couples to down-type quarks to explain the CPV phase in CKM
- A pair of vector-like heavy quarks are introduced keeping $\arg\det(M_d) \approx 0$

The mass term: $\overline{d'_L} M_d d'_R + h.c.$

4x4 matrix

$$M_d = \begin{pmatrix} m_{d,ij} & 0 \\ B_{d,k} & \mu_D \end{pmatrix}$$

$B_{d,k} \sim \mu_D$ (required)

$$\arg\det(M_d) = 0$$

$$V_{d_L}^\dagger M_d U_{d_R} = \mathrm{diag}(m_d, m_s, m_b, m_D \sim \mu_D)$$

complex elements that lead to the CKM phase

[Bento, Branco, Parada, Phys. Lett. B267 (1991), 95-99]

More details

- Extended down-quark mass matrix

SM Yukawa interaction

$$y_{d,ij}\overline{Q_L}Hd_{j,R} + h.c.$$

$$+ \underbrace{\left[(k_i S + k_i' S^*)\overline{D_L}d_{i,R} + \mu_D \overline{D_L}D_R'\right]}_{B_{d,i}} + h.c.$$

The complex mass parameters, after $S \to \langle S \rangle$

- Introduction of a discrete symmetry to obtain VEV with a complex phase (the phase direction has to be fixed)

$$S \to -S,\ D_L \to -D_L,\ D_R' \to -D_R'$$

- Because of Z_2 symmetry, there are two degenerated (and discrete) vacuua, for $\langle S \rangle = \pm C$

More details

- Extended down-quark mass matrix

SM Yukawa interaction

$$y_{d,ij}\overline{Q_L}Hd_{j,R} + h.c.$$

$$+ \underbrace{\left[(k_i S + k_i' S^*)\overline{D_L}d_{i,R} + \mu_D \overline{D_L}D_R'\right]}_{B_{d,i}} + h.c.$$

The complex mass parameters, after $S \to \langle S \rangle$

- Introduction of a discrete symmetry to obtain VEV with a complex phase (the phase direction has to be fixed)

$$S \to -S,\ D_L \to -D_L,\ D_R' \to -D_R'$$

- Because of Z_2 symmetry, there are two degenerated (and discrete) vacuua, for $\langle S \rangle = \pm C$

This causes **the cosmological domain wall problem** if $T_{max} > |\langle S \rangle|$

Dangerous operators

The solution can be spoiled easily by higher dimensional operators and loops

$$M_d = \begin{pmatrix} m_{d,ij} & 0 \\ B_{d,k} & \mu_D \end{pmatrix} + \delta M_d \qquad \delta M_d = \begin{pmatrix} \delta a & \delta b \\ 0 & \delta c \end{pmatrix}$$

For instance,

$$\delta b \ni \frac{c_b \langle S \rangle + c_b' \langle S \rangle^*}{M_*} \overline{Q_L} H D_R' \qquad \text{allowed by the symmetry}$$

$$\theta < \mathcal{O}(10^{-10}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\langle S \rangle| < 10^8 \text{ GeV} \quad \text{for} \quad M_* = M_{\rm PL}$$

The symmetries alone are difficult to suppress the dangerous operators

Dangerous operators

The solution can be spoiled easily by higher dimensional operators and loops

$$M_d = \begin{pmatrix} m_{d,ij} & 0 \\ B_{d,k} & \mu_D \end{pmatrix} + \delta M_d \qquad \delta M_d = \begin{pmatrix} \delta a & \delta b \\ 0 & \delta c \end{pmatrix}$$

For instance,

$$\delta b \ni \frac{c_b \langle S \rangle + c_b' \langle S \rangle^*}{M_*} \overline{Q_L} H D_R' \qquad \text{allowed by the symmetry}$$

$$\theta < \mathcal{O}(10^{-10}) \qquad \boldsymbol{T_{max}} < |\langle S \rangle| < 10^8 \text{ GeV} \quad \text{for} \quad M_* = M_{\rm PL}$$

The symmetries alone are difficult to suppress the dangerous operators

Challenges

- Because of the domain wall problem, $T_R < S < 10^8$ GeV
- Tension with leptogenesis (T_R and no CPV parameters in the Lagrangian)
- No dark matter candidate
- Lack of explanation of neutrino masses and oscillations

We look for a simple model that addresses the above issues

Setup of our model in 5D

- Gauge $U(1)_{B-L}$ and introduce B-L Higgs and right-handed neutrinos
- Introduce the fifth-dimension
- We have another way to control the Lagrangian, geometry
- Introduce a pair of mediator to generate leptonic CP violating phases

$$ds^2 = (dx^0)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{3}(dx^i)^2 - dy^2$$

Setup of our model in 5D

$G_{SM} \otimes U(1)_{B-L}$

$G_{SM} = SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$

The other SM fields

$U(1)_{B-L}$ gauge field

right-handed neutrinos

$D,\ D'$

$\eta,\ \eta'$

mediators of CPV

H, ϕ

B-L Higgs gives masses to the right-handed neutrinos and B-L gauge boson

S

With orbifolding

S_1/Z_2

$y = 0$

$y = L$

With a bulk mass term, zero-mode has a exponentially localized wave-function in the *y*-direction

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{bulk}} \ni +m_b \overline{N}_1 N_1 - m_b' \overline{D'} D'$$

$f_R^0(y)$ $\quad$ $(f_L^0(y) = 0)$



|mass times y|

$$\psi_R(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_n f_R^n(y) \psi_R^n(x)$$

With a bulk mass term, zero-mode has a exponentially localized wave-function in the *y*-direction

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{bulk}} \ni +m_b \overline{N}_1 N_1 - m_b' \overline{D'} D'$$



Dangerous operators are suppressed exponentially: $\langle S \rangle$ and T_R can be larger

$$\frac{c_{i,a} S^2 + c'_{i,a} S^{*\,2}}{M_*^2} \overline{Q_L} H d_{i,R} \qquad \frac{c_b S + c'_b S^*}{M_*} \overline{Q_L} H D'_R \qquad \frac{c_c S^2 + c'_c S^{2\,*}}{M_*^2} \overline{D_L} D'_R$$

With a bulk mass term, zero-mode has a exponentially localized wave-function in the *y*-direction

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm bulk} \ni +m_b \overline{N}_1 N_1 - m_b' \overline{D'} D'$$

$f_R^0(y) \qquad (f_L^0(y) = 0)$



This is essential for $N_{R,1}$ being dark matter

The coupling and mass for the zero mode is exponentially suppressed

$$y_{\nu,i1} \overline{L}_i H N_{R,1}, \ \frac{1}{2} M_{N,1} \overline{(N_{R,1})^c} N_{R,1} \Rightarrow \frac{y_{\nu,i1}}{L} e^{-m_b L} \overline{L_i^0} H N_{R,1}^0, \ \frac{1}{2} \frac{M_{N,1}}{L} e^{-2m_b L} \overline{(N_{R,1}^0)^c} N_{R,1}^0$$

Sterile neutrino dark matter

- The right-handed neutrino with a mass of $O(10-100)$ keV can be dark matter

  (From now on, we focus on the zero-modes and suppress the index 0)

Sterile neutrino dark matter

- The right-handed neutrino with a mass of $O(10-100)$ keV can be dark matter

$$\frac{y_{\nu,i1}}{L}e^{-m_b L}\overline{L}_i H N_{R,1}, \quad \frac{1}{2}\frac{M_{N,1}}{L}e^{-2m_b L}\overline{(N_{R,1})^c}N_{R,1}$$

$$m_b L = 20 \Rightarrow \exp(-20) \approx 2.1 \times 10^{-9}$$

$$\frac{M_{N,1}}{L}e^{-2m_b L} \sim 10\mathrm{keV}$$ **is natural**

- The Yukawa coupling and mass are highly suppressed
- This is important to avoid the gamma ray constraint

$$N_{R,1} \to \gamma + \nu_i$$ (one-loop)

Production of the sterile neutrino dark matter

- Because of extremely small Yukawa coupling, the Yukawa interaction is essentially negligible for production
- The main production is through $U(1)_{B-L}$ gauge interaction
- No viable solution is found when the sterile neutrino DM is thermalized (it can not fit to the oscillation data)



Non-thermalized case

- Even in this case, $N_{R,1}$ is created from SM particles (SM particles are in the thermal bath)
- For the largest temperature, the production is most efficient



It can explain 100% of dark matter

$$\Omega_{N_1} \approx 0.26 \times \left(\frac{M_1}{50\,\mathrm{keV}}\right)\left(\frac{T_R}{10^{10}\mathrm{GeV}}\right)^3\left(\frac{3\times 10^{12}\,\mathrm{GeV}}{v_{B-L}}\right)^4$$

Leptogenesis

- The next to lightest right-handed neutrino is responsible for leptogenesis
- The heaviest one is important through loops as well

The relevant effective Lagrangian for zero-modes is

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L} \quad &\ni \quad \overline{\eta_L}(C_2 N_{2,R} + C_3 N_{3,R} + \mu_\eta \eta'_R) + h.c. \\ &+ \quad \sum_{i=2,3} \frac{1}{2} M_{N,i} \overline{(N_{i,R})^c} N_{i,R} + h.c., \end{aligned}$$

C_2 and C_3 are complex parameter coming from

$$S \ni \int d^4x dy \mathcal{L}_2 \delta(y - L), \quad \mathcal{L}_2 \ni -(l_j S + l'_j S^*) \overline{\eta_L} N_{R,j} + h.c.$$

real



$M_{N,2}/\mu_\eta = 10^{-2}$ and $M_{N,3}/\mu_\eta = 10^{-1}$,

$|C_2/\mu_\eta| = [0.8, 1.2],\ |C_3/\mu_\eta| = [0.8, 1.2],$
$\arg(C_2) = [-\pi, \pi],\ \arg(C_3) = [-\pi, \pi].$

- There are O(1) complex phases required for leptogenesis
- Dark matter and baryon asymmetry of the universe are explained for e.g.

$$M_{N,2} = 2 \times 10^{10}\,\mathrm{GeV} \qquad v_{B-L} = \mathcal{O}(10^{12})\,\mathrm{GeV}$$

with the hierarchy $v_{B-L} > \langle S \rangle > T_R > M_{\mathrm{N},2}$

Conclusion

- 5D model can suppress the dangerous operators which are not forbidden solely from the symmetries
- It is easy to avoid the domain wall problem, and the cosmology will be more flexible
- The lightest right-handed neutrino is dark matter, the required small parameters are naturally explained in 5D
- The baryon asymmetry of the universe is explained by the other two right-handed neutrinos
- The gamma ray constraint is severe. In other words, the gamma ray originated from the sterile neutrino DM may be observed in future

Backup

Sterile neutrino dark matter

- The right-handed neutrino with a mass of $O(10-100)$ keV can be dark matter
- This light right-handed neutrino decays to photon + SM neutrinos



mixing from $y_{\nu,i1}\langle H\rangle$



[Bolton, Deppisch, Dev, 2020]



(BBN limit is irrelevant in our case)

[Zakharov et al., 2023]

$$\sin^2(2\theta) \lesssim 10^{-13} \quad \text{for } M_1 = 20 \text{ keV}$$

$$\theta^2 \approx \quad 7.6 \times 10^{-14} \left(\frac{\sum_i |y_{\nu,i1}|^2}{10^{-27}} \right) \left(\frac{20\,\text{keV}}{M_1} \right)^2, \quad M_1 \equiv M_{N,1}.$$

$\sin^2(2\theta) \lesssim 10^{-13}$ for $M_1 = 20$ keV

$$\theta^2 \approx \frac{\sum_i |\tilde{y}_{\nu,i1}|^2 \langle H^0 \rangle^2}{M_1^2}$$

Tiny!

$$\approx 7.6 \times 10^{-14} \left(\frac{\sum_i |y_{\nu,i1}|^2}{10^{-27}} \right) \left(\frac{20\,\text{keV}}{M_1} \right)^2, \quad M_1 \equiv M_{N,1}.$$

This significantly helps make the Yukawa coupling tiny

$m_b L = 20$ ⇒ $\exp(-20) \approx 2.1 \times 10^{-9}$

$$\frac{y_{\nu,i1}}{L} e^{-m_b L} \overline{L}_i H N_{R,1}$$