# Mapping the baryonic Universe

— a new window into the cosmos —

### Hamsa Padmanabhan

### Scientific collaborator and PI, SNSF Ambizione Grant Université de Genève

with Alexandre Refregier, Adam Amara, Girish Kulkarni, Patrick Breysse, Adam Lidz, Eric. R. Switzer, Avi Loeb, Stefano Camera, and the COMAP collaboration





# Introducing the baryonic universe



## Mapping the baryonic universe

![](_page_2_Picture_1.jpeg)

- GMRT (Pune, India)
- LOFAR (the Netherlands)
- CHIME (Canada)
- PAPER, MeerKAT (South Africa)
- BINGO, TianLai, HIRAX...
- COMAP, COPSS, ...
- ALMA, FYST, TIME, CONCERTO...
- SKA (South Africa/Australia)

![](_page_2_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Picture_11.jpeg)

# The Square Kilometre Array (SKA)

![](_page_3_Picture_1.jpeg)

An international venture, IGO

Radio telescope (operational ~ 2029)

- 1 square kilometre collecting area
- ~ 200 dishes; 350 MHz - 14 GHz

# Intensity mapping (IM)

[Early studies: Hogan and Rees 1979, Sunyaev and Zeldovich 1972,1974, Bebington+ 1986]

- Measure all structure; sensitive to the integrated emission of all the sources; including foregrounds
- Foregrounds are spectrally smooth, different from the signal
- Different environments, different lines

### **Credit: Dongwoo Chung**

![](_page_4_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Lines and environments

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

[Sun+ (2020), ApJ, arXiv:1907.02999]

# Atomic and molecular lines

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Credit: Dongwoo Chung**

# 21 cm intensity mapping

Chang+ (2010), Masui+ (2013), Switzer+ (2013), Anderson+ (2018), Wolz+ (2021), CHIME collaboration (2022), Cunnington+ (2022), Paul+(2023) ...

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Cosmology with IM

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

Several thousand more modes, much smaller scales than galaxy surveys/CMB

![](_page_8_Figure_3.jpeg)

[Loeb & Wyithe (2008)]

$$N_{21\text{cm}} \sim 8 \times 10^{11} \left(\frac{k_{\text{max}}}{3 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{\Delta v}{v}\right) \left(\frac{1+z}{100}\right)^{-1/2}$$
  
Dark Ages :  $k_{\text{max}} \sim 1000 \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ 

$$N_{\rm CMB} \sim 10^7$$

[Furlanetto (2019)]

# A plethora of experiments ...

[Kovetz+ (2017, 2019)]

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

# The microwave regime — The CO Mapping Array Project

- CO is a major tracer of star formation, bright even at high redshifts
- 'Ladder' of lines
- Pathfinder: a proof-of-concept, single dish focal plane array, 26-34 GHz
- Three fields, ~ 4 deg<sup>2</sup> per field

![](_page_10_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **CO transitions**

# **COMAP Early Science**

Seven Early Science papers on arXiv, covering first 13 months of observing

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

[Cleary+ (2021), Lamb+ (2021), Foss+ (2021), Ihle+ (2021), Chung+ (2021), Rennie+ (2021), Breysse+ (2021), To appear in ApJ; arXiv:2111.5927-5933]

# **COMAP Early Science**

The first direct 3D measurement of the CO power spectrum on large scales [Cleary+ (2021)]

Nearly an order of magnitude improvement compared to the previous best measurement

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

[Chung+ (2021), Ihle+ (2021)]

## Intensity mapping at GHz frequencies

- [OIII] 88 μm and [CII] 158 μm
- Good tracers of star forming regions
- Brightest infrared lines
- Fred Young Submillimetre Telescope (FYST) : 212 - 428 GHz
- EXperiment for Cryogenic Large-Aperture Intensity Mapping (EXCLAIM) : 420 - 540 GHz

![](_page_13_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_7.jpeg)

# The power spectrum

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

$$P_{\text{line}}(k, z) = \langle I(z) \rangle^2 b^2(z) P_{\text{cdm}}(k, z) + P_{\text{shot}}(z)$$
  
Abundance Bias Shot noise

Clustering

# The 'astrophysical systematic'

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$P_{\text{line}}(k, z) = \langle I(z) \rangle^2 b^2(z) P_{\text{cdm}}(k, z) + P_{\text{shot}}(z)$$
  
ASTROPHYSICS COSMOLOGY

There is an interplay of astrophysics and cosmology

How can we quantify this in predictions?

# Model the astrophysics efficiently ...

# ... using a halo model for baryonic gas

Hamsa Padmanabhan, Alexandre Refregier, Adam Amara, MNRAS 469 (2), 2323 (2017) [arXiv:1611.06235]

Hamsa Padmanabhan, Alexandre Refregier, MNRAS 464(4), 4008 (2017) [arXiv:1607.01021]

# The tracer-halo connection $P_{\text{line}}(k,z) = \langle I(z) \rangle^2 b^2(z) P_{\text{cdm}}(k,z) + P_{\text{shot}}(z)$ **Bias times** Matter fluctuations line intensity Halo bias $b(z) \propto \int dM_h \frac{dn}{dM_h}(z) L_{tr}(M_h, z) \tilde{b}_h(M_h)$ Tracer-halo relation $I(z) \propto dM_h \frac{dn}{dM_1}(z) L_{tr}(M_h, z)$ Halo mass function $P_{1h} \propto \left[ dM_h \frac{dn}{dM_h} L_{tr}(M_h, z)^2 \left| u_{tr}(k \mid M) \right|^2 \right]$ Small scales; tracer profile in halo Shot noise

# A halo model for neutral hydrogen

Combine IM observations with individual objects

M<sub>HI</sub> (M<sub>h</sub>, z) Average HI mass associated with a halo of mass M at redshift z

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

Radial HI distribution within a halo of mass M at redshift z

Allows us to derive HI observables

[HP, Choudhury, Refregier, MNRAS (2016)]

# Available HI data

21 cm emission HIPASSmass function[Zwaan+ (2005a)]WHISPcolumn density[Zwaan+ (2005b)]ALFALFAclustering, bias[Martin+ (2012)]

21 cm intensity mapping

GBT/DEEP2 [Switzer+ (2013)]

DLA HI absorption

Mg II selected:	$z \sim 2.3$ bias	z ~ 5
z ~ 1		GGG survey
[Rao+ (2006)]	Z ~ Z.3 SD33 [Noterdaeme+ (2012)]	[Crighton+ (2015)]

z ~ 0-4 incidence [Zafar+ (2013)] SDSS []] [Bird+ (2016)]

4

0

1

2

3

5

Z

[Barnes & Haehnelt (2010, 2014), Villaescusa-Navarro + (2015), ...]

$$M_{\rm HI} (M_h, z) \quad (\alpha) f_{\rm H,c} M_h \left( \frac{M_h}{10^{11} h^{-1} M_{\odot}} \right)^{\beta} \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{v_{c,0}}{v_c(M_h, z)} \right)^3 \right]$$
  
HI Fraction  
relative to cosmic Slope Lower cutoff

### **HI HALO MODEL**

$$\rho_0 \exp(-r/r_{s,\text{HI}}); \ r_{s,\text{HI}} = R_v(M_h)/c_{\text{HI}}(M_h, z)$$
$$c_{\text{HI}}(M_h, z) = c_{\text{HI},0} \left(\frac{M_h}{10^{11}M_{\odot}}\right)^{-0.109} \frac{4}{(1+z)^{10}}$$

[e.g. Wang+ (2014), Bigiel & Blitz (2012)...]

 $\rho_{\rm HI}$  ( $r; M_h, z$ )

**Concentration parameter** 

**Evolution with redshift** 

# Constraints

### [HP+, MNRAS (2017), HP & Refregier (2017), HP & Kulkarni (2017)]

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

# One shoe fits all!

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Best fit halo model

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

[HP, Refregier, Amara, MNRAS (2017)]

### Non-unity slope!

### **Exponential profile**

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

ON THE (NON)EVOLUTION OF H I DISKS OVER COSMIC TIME

J. XAVIER PROCHASKA<sup>1</sup> AND ARTHUR M. WOLFE<sup>2</sup> Draft version October 30, 2018

Accretion of fresh HI = Consumption for star formation

[Dutton+ (2016), Werk+ (2014), Stern+ (2016), Prochaska & Wolfe (2008)]

Insights  
$$M_{\rm HI}(M_h, z) = \alpha f_{\rm H,c} M_h \left( \frac{M_h}{10^{11} h^{-1} M_{\odot}} \right)^{\beta} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{v_{c,0}}{v_c(M_h, z)} \right)^3 \right]$$

Slope

[Barnes & Haehnelt 2014; Bagla+ (2010), HP+ (2016)]

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

21-cm based

**DLA** based

Insights  
$$M_{\rm HI}(M_h, z) = \alpha f_{\rm H,c} M_h \left( \frac{M_h}{10^{11} h^{-1} M_{\odot}} \right)^{\beta} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{v_{c,0}}{v_c(M_h, z)} \right)^3 \right]$$

Slope

[Barnes & Haehnelt 2014; Bagla+ (2010), HP+ (2016)]

![](_page_28_Figure_3.jpeg)

21-cm based

**DLA** based

Insights  
$$M_{\rm HI}(M_h, z) = \alpha f_{\rm H,c} M_h \left( \frac{M_h}{10^{11} h^{-1} M_{\odot}} \right)^{\beta} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{v_{c,0}}{v_c(M_h, z)} \right)^3 \right]$$

Lower cutoff

 $v_{\rm c,0} = 36.3 \ \rm km/s$ 

### Photoionization increases cooling timescales Constraints on UV background

Mon. Not. R. Astron, Soc. 278, L49-L54 (1996)

### Photoionization and the formation of dwarf galaxies

Thomas Quinn,<sup>1</sup> Neal Katz<sup>1</sup> and George Efstathiou<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Astronomy, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3RH

... suppression: circular speeds ~ 37 km/s!

[Rees (1986), Efstathiou (1992), Babul & Rees (1992),Quinn+ (1996), ...]

# Describing IM with other lines

[HP (MNRAS, 2018)]

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

-1.0

-1.0

Intensity mapping with [CII] and [OIII]

[HP (MNRAS, 2019), HP+ (MNRAS, 2022)]

0.0

 $\log_{10}(k/h \ {\rm Mpc}^{-1})$ 

0.5

1.0

-0.5

# Bringing it all together ...

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Synergies with galaxy surveys

### [Bernal & Kovetz (2023), ARAA review]

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

## 21 cm IM is not easy ...

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

Slide credit: Steve Cunnington

### Cross-correlations with 21 cm

### **Cross-correlations mitigate systematics**

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

Cross-correlation with galaxy survey < few sq. deg.: information loss in areas most affected by foregrounds Mitigated by using IM with e.g. [CII], covering ~ few ten square degrees or more

[Lidz+ (2009), Beane & Lidz (2018), Beane et al. (2019)]

# Cross correlation of a 21 cm and galaxy survey

CHIME ~ 25000 deg<sup>2</sup>; DESI ~ 14000 deg<sup>2</sup> Galaxy parameter Q, influences bias Constraints comparable to SKA I MID auto

Astrophysical constraints improve by factors of 2-3 from the present priors

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

[HP, Refregier, Amara, MNRAS (2020)]

## New empirical insights on HI at z ~ 5-7

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

Consistent with the HI halo model in its present form!\*

![](_page_36_Figure_3.jpeg)

[HP, Refregier, Amara, MNRAS (2017)]

\*Note: total power only, scale dependence unconstrained

# Cross-correlation of 21 cm and submm surveys

\*Assumes complete overlap

(FYST++) x MWA/SKA

### z ~ 5.5-6.5, [CII] 158 x HI (MWA)

z ~ 7, [CII] 158 x HI (MWA)

![](_page_37_Figure_5.jpeg)

[HP (MNRAS, 2018), HP (MNRAS 2019), HP+ (MNRAS 2022), HP (2023, arXiv:2212.08077)]

# The gas-stars relation

### Combine HI constraints with empirical evolution of stellarhalo relations

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_0.jpeg)

[HP & Loeb, MNRAS (2020)]

Depletion timescale evolves with z; characteristic value 1 Gyr (but some high-L outliers)

[Harrrington+ (2018), Berman+ (2022)]

Molecular (not atomic) gas the chief tracer of star formation (but see recent work)

![](_page_39_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_6.jpeg)

# From astrophysics to cosmology ...

# Cosmology and astrophysics from the 21 cm power spectrum

Angular power spectrum

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

Priors from current astrophysics using the halo model!

# Cosmological constraints: precision

### [HP+ (MNRAS, 2019), arXiv:1804.10627]

**Quantify degradation from astrophysics** ...

alleviated by tomography

![](_page_42_Figure_4.jpeg)

**Experiments like CHIME/SKA going up to z ~ 2.5 achieve ~ full alleviation** 

# Going beyond ACDM with intensity mapping ...

Stefano Camera and **Hamsa Padmanabhan**, Beyond ACDM with HI intensity mapping: robustness of cosmological constraints in the presence of astrophysics, MNRAS 496, 4115 (2020) [arXiv:1910.00022]

Bauer et al. (incl. Hamsa Padmanabhan), Intensity mapping as a probe of axion dark matter, MNRAS 500, 3162 (2021) [arXiv: 2003.09655]

# Cosmological constraints: accuracy

[Camera+ (2011,2014), Camera & HP (MNRAS, 2020)]

How do incorrect HI astrophysics assumptions impact cosmological constraints?

Nested likelihoods framework

Most parameters within a few  $\sigma$ 

Present uncertainty in astrophysics

![](_page_44_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_7.jpeg)

# Is it ACDM or ...

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

Primordial non-Gaussianity is negligibly affected by astrophysical uncertainties in HI IM surveys

# Primordial non-Gaussianity with CO/[CII]

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Nature of dark matter

# Fuzzy DM with axion mass < 10<sup>-22</sup> eV constrained at few percent level from 21 cm

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

[Bernal+ (2020)]

 $k [hMpc^{-1}]$ 

# **BAOs and neutrino properties**

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

Neutrino decay signature in different models show up in GHz to THz frequencies

![](_page_48_Figure_3.jpeg)

**Bernal+ (PRL, 2021)** 

# Fundamental physics from the Cosmic Dawn ...

 $\rm ULB\text{-}TH/20\text{-}03$ 

Variations in fundamental constants at the cosmic dawn

Laura Lopez-Honorez,<sup>1,\*</sup> Olga Mena,<sup>2,†</sup> Sergio Palomares-Ruiz,<sup>2,‡</sup> Pablo Villanueva-Domingo,<sup>2,§</sup> and Samuel J. Witte<sup>2,¶</sup>

> Gravitational wave backgrounds from coalescing black hole binaries at cosmic dawn: an upper bound

> > Kohei Inayoshi,<sup>1</sup> Kazumi Kashiyama,<sup>2,3</sup> Eli Visbal,<sup>4</sup> and Zoltán Haiman<sup>5</sup>

Fuzzy Dark Matter at Cosmic Dawn: New 21-cm Constraints

Implications of the possible 21-cm line excess at cosmic dawn on dynamics of interacting dark energy

Chunlong Li<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Xin Ren<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Martiros Khurshudyan<sup>a,b,c,d,e</sup>, Yi-Fu Cai<sup>a,b,c,\*</sup>

#### and several more ...

Olof Nebrin,<sup>a</sup> Raghunath Ghara,<sup>a</sup> Garrelt Mellema<sup>a</sup>

#### arXiv.org > astro-ph > arXiv:1810.02680

Astrophysics > Cosmology and Nongalactic Astrophysics

**Fundamental Physics with the Square Kilometer Array** 

Review article, Weltman+ (2020), PASA, chapter on Cosmic Dawn and Reionization [chapter leads: HP, Jonathan Pritchard]

# To summarize ...

- Intensity mapping bridges a large gap between deep and wide spectroscopic surveys of galaxies
- Astrophysical systematics in intensity mapping can be efficiently handled via a data driven halo model [HP+ (2015, 2016, 2017a, b), HP & Kulkarni (2017)]
- to explore the impact of astrophysics on the *precision* [HP+ (2019b)] and accuracy of cosmological forecasts, ... [Camera & HP (2020), Bauer+ (2021)]
- … cross correlations with large galaxy surveys (e.g. DES, DESI, Euclid, LSST …) [HP, Refregier, Amara (2020)] and extensions to the sub-mm regime [HP (2018, 2019, 2023), HP+ (2022)]
- Image: Markare Mark
- … aiming towards the best possible constraints on Fundamental Physics from the Cosmic Dawn … [Fundamental Physics with the SKA (PASA, 2020)]

# Thank you!