Beyond the WIMP Paradigm

Wei Xue

Copernicus Seminar April 12, 2022





rotation curve

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

FERMILAB-Pub-77/41-THY May 1977

Ξ

Cosmological Lower Bound on

Heavy Neutrino Masses

BENJAMIN W. LEE * Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510

AND

STEVEN WEINBERG^{**} Stanford University, Physics Department, Stanford, California 94305

ABSTRACT

The present cosmic mass density of possible stable neutral heavy leptons is calculated in a standard cosmological model. In order for this density not to exceed the upper limit of 2×10^{-29} g/cm³, the lepton mass would have to be <u>greater</u> than a lower bound of the order of 2 GeV.

** On leave 1976-7 from Harvard University.



Ben Lee (1935 — June 1977)



🗂 Operated by Universities Research Association Inc. under contract with the Energy Research and Development Administration

Steven Weinberg (1933- July 2021)

Dark matter direct detection





Dark matter direct detection





Outline

New experiment

Superfluid He4 dark matter detector

arXiv: 2108.07275 JHEP Konstantin Matchev, Jordan Smolinsky and Yining You

• New paradigm

Continuum dark matter

arXiv: 2105.07035 & 2105.14023 PRD & PRL

Csaba Csaki, Sungwoo Hong, Gowri Kurup, Seung Lee, and Maxim Perelstein

• Summary and outlook



Dark Matter Detection with Superfluid

Matchev, Smolinsky, You and **WX** JHEP

future work + Saab and Lee

Why ⁴He superfluid?

 Helium as the second lightest element an excellent target material for detecting light particles

 superfluid Helium will be cooled to ~0.1 K the system behaves as a vacuum sensitive to tiny perturbations



Previous studies and challenges on superfluid direct detection



9

Plan

theoretical framework to understand the quasi-particle production and thermalization

arXiv: 2108.07275

• simulation

to know the momentum spectrum, flux, thermalization

• a prototype experiment at University of Florida

Collaboration











Jordan Smolinsky

Yoonseok Lee

Tarek Saab Konstantin Matchev

Yining You

Challenges and motivations

- What happens when a test particle (dark matter or neutron) scatters with the helium superfluid?
 - ?

 The perturbative theory of superfluid break down ~ keV ?
 (inverse of the helium spacing)



Quasi-particles



de Broglie wavelength

- atomic spacing $\lambda_a \sim \text{keV}^{-1}$
- incoming particle de Broglie wavelength $\gtrsim \lambda_a$ e.g. sub-MeV dark matter, v~ 10⁻³ c





incoming particle de Broglie wavelength ≪ λ_a
 e.g. MeV-GeV dark matter





What happens after a MeV-GeV dark matter scattering?



What happens after a MeV-GeV dark matter scattering?



What happens after a MeV-GeV dark matter scattering?









• <u>cutoff $\Lambda \sim \text{keV}$ </u>

atomic spacing ~ $(\text{keV})^{-1}$

• processes

quasi-particle Helium interactions to study quasi-particle production quasi-particle self interactions to understand thermalization

Why it is challenging?



- inverse atomic spacing $\Lambda_{cut} \sim \text{keV}$
- rotons, quasi-particles and heliums momentum $p \gtrsim \Lambda_{cut}$



Effective field theory

- find the relevant degrees of freedom and symmetry
- four-fermion interactions

• BCS theory

perturbation around Fermi surface [J. Polchinski, 1999]



Phonons π (p $\ll \Lambda$ (~ keV))

at low energy, phonons are the relevant d.o.f with shift symmetry + ``Galilei" symmetry

• **Similar to** chiral perturbation theory (pions) Effective quantum action method [D. Son, 2002]



$$[p] = 1, \quad [t] = -1, [x] = -1, \quad [\pi] = 1$$

phonon interactions

$$\mathscr{L}_{\rm ph} = -\frac{c_s^{3/2}}{2\Lambda^2} \dot{\pi} \,\partial_i \pi \,\partial_i \pi + \frac{g_3 \,c_s^{-1/2}}{6\,\Lambda^2} \dot{\pi}^3 + \mathcal{O}(\pi^4)$$







Roton and phonon interactions



• roton (as impurity) and phonon interactions

$$V_{\text{ph-r}} = \epsilon_{boost} - \epsilon_{lab} + \boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}$$

• This method can be applied to dark matter, quasiparticles, etc.

Helium atom $\Phi_{\text{He}}(p \gg \text{keV})$

• U(1) symmetry $\Phi_{\text{He}} \rightarrow e^{i\alpha} \Phi_{\text{He}}$

effective field theory breaks down? $p \gg \Lambda$

• Similar to Heavy quark effective theory

 $\mathbf{p} = m_Q \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{k}$, $\Lambda/m_Q \ll 1$

• Helium currents J_{He}^0 , J_{He}^i

$$J_{\rm He}^0 = \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi, \quad J_{\rm He}^i = {\rm v}^i \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi$$

Phonon currents J^0 , J^i

$$J^0 = \frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{m_{\rm He}c_s}\dot{\pi} + \cdots,$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{JJ} = \lambda_1 \frac{1}{m_{\text{He}}\Lambda} J^0 J^0_{\text{He}} + \lambda_2 \frac{m_{\text{He}}}{\Lambda^3} J^i J^i_{\text{He}}$$



$He \rightarrow He + quasi-particle from measurement$

dynamical (static) structure function *S*(**q**, *ω*) is directly measured in neutron scattering experiments

 $\implies \text{HEP language,} \quad S(q) = |\langle vac | j_0 | \varphi \rangle|^2$ Current conservation, we can know $|\langle vac | j_i | \varphi \rangle|^2$

• Helium decay to a quasi-particle,

$$\mathscr{L}_{JJ} = \lambda_1 \frac{1}{m_{\text{He}}\Lambda} J^0 J^0_{\text{He}} + \lambda_2 \frac{m_{\text{He}}}{\Lambda^3} J^i J^i_{\text{He}}$$

amplitude $\mathcal{M} \propto \lambda_1 J_{\text{He}}^0 \langle vac | J^0 | \varphi \rangle + \lambda_1 J_{\text{He}}^i \langle vac | J^i | \varphi \rangle$





Rate summary



arXiv: 2108.07275

Summary and future works

- MeV GeV dark matter theoretically and experimentally interesting
- effective field theory quasi-particle interactions

 to do simulations experiment at UF





New Dark Matter Paradigm

[arXiv: 2105.07035 & 2105.14023]

With Csaki, Hong, Kurup, Lee, and Perelstein

WIMP





Weakly-Interacting Continuum (WIC)

Continuum States

• the Källen-Lehmann representation

$$\langle 0 | \Phi(p) \Phi(-p) | 0 \rangle = \int \frac{\int d\mu^2}{d^4 p / 2\pi} \frac{i\rho(\mu^2)}{p^2 + (\mu^2)} \frac{i\rho(\mu^2)}{p^2 + (\mu^2)} \frac{1}{2} (i\rho^2) \phi(p)$$

• a normal particle correlation function $\rho(\mu^2) = 2\pi \,\delta(\mu^2 - m_0^2)$

• a continuum state
$$p = \frac{i}{\Sigma(p^2)} = \int \frac{d\mu^2}{2\pi} \frac{i}{p^2 - \mu^2 + i\epsilon} \rho(\mu^2)$$



Gapped Continuum from 5D UV Model

• warped 5D background



• Schrödinger equation (1D QM problem) $\rho(\mu^2)_{ds^2 = e^{-2A(y)}dx^2 - dy^2} \mu_0$

$$-\frac{d^2\psi}{dz^2} + V(z)\psi = p^2\psi$$

$$V(z \to \infty) = \mu_0^2 \Rightarrow \text{Gapped continuum}$$

$$M_0^2 \qquad R \qquad R \qquad R$$

Physics of Gapped Continuum

• quantization (free continuum)

$$\left[a_{\mathbf{p},\mu}, a_{\mathbf{p}',\mu'}^{\dagger}\right] = (2\pi)^4 \delta^3 (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') \delta(\mu^2 - \mu'^2)$$

decomposition

$$\Phi(x) = \int \frac{d\mu^2}{2\pi} \sqrt{\rho(\mu)} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2E_{\mu}}} \left(a_{\mathbf{p},\mu} e^{ip \cdot x} + a_{\mathbf{p},\mu}^{\dagger} e^{-ip \cdot x} \right)_{p^0 = E_{\mu}}$$

• scattering (continuum as the final states)

Rate to produce continuum

 $\varphi(M_1)$, $\varphi(M_2)$

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{2E_A} \frac{1}{2E_B} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\underline{A}}} \frac{1}{\rho_{\underline{A}}} \frac{1}{\rho_{\underline{A}}} \frac{d\mu_1^2}{2\pi} \frac{\rho(\mu_1^2)}{\rho(\mu_1^2)} \frac{d\mu_2^2}{2\pi} \frac{\rho(\mu_2^2)}{\rho(\mu_1^2)} \int \frac{d\mu_2^2}{2\pi} \frac{\rho(\mu_2^2)}{\rho(\mu_2^2)} \frac{d\Pi_{\mu_1}}{\rho(\mu_2^2)} \frac{d\Pi_{\mu_2}}{\rho(\mu_2^2)} \frac{d\Pi_{\mu_2}}}{\rho(\mu_2^2)} \frac{d\Pi_{\mu_2}}{\rho(\mu_2^2)} \frac{d\Pi_{\mu_2}}{\rho($$

 $d\Pi_{\mu} = \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_{\mu}} \frac{dg_1^2}{2\pi} he Lorent \frac{dz_1^2}{2\pi} \rho(\mu_1^2) \int \frac{dg_1^2}{2\pi} \rho(\mu_2^2) \frac{d\mu_1^2}{2E_A 2E_B v} \int d\Pi_{\mu_1} d\Pi_{\mu_2} d\Pi_A d\Pi_B (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 |\mathcal{M}|^2$

Thermodynamics of Gapped Continuum

• The number density of excitations between μ^2 and $\mu^2 + d\mu^2$

$$dn = \frac{d\mu^2}{2\pi} \rho(\mu^2) \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} f(\mathbf{p}, \mu_{T_{DS}}^2) = T_{SM} = T < \mu_0$$

 $R_{qn} = DM(\mu_1) + DM(\mu_2) \leftrightarrow SM_1 + SM_2$ where the phase-space density in equilibrium

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_{QES} & DM(\mu_1) + SM_1 \leftrightarrow DM(\mu_2) + SM_2 \\ f(\mathbf{p}, \mu^2) \underset{R}{\sim} \approx e^{-\beta E_{\mu}} \\ \frac{n}{n_R} \sim \left(\frac{\mu_0}{T}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{\mu_0}{T}} \ll 1 \end{array}$$

• When the temperature is low

$$T < \mu_0$$



Z-portal Weakly Interacting Continuum



Direct detection

• scattering rate



ction [cm²]

P=01 Cark Matter-proton cro

10^{-50∟} 10⁻

Dark Matter Mass [GeV/c2]

v is the dark matter velocity ~ 10^{-3} c

4D Effective Z-portal Model

• scalar continuum dark matter Φ with gap scale μ_0 regular scalar χ : doublet under SU(2)_L, U(1)_Y charge $-\frac{1}{2}$

• Lagrangian
$$\mathscr{L}_{int} = -\lambda \Phi \chi H + c.c.$$

 $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \mathcal{L}_{\phi} + \mathcal{L}_{\chi} + \mathcal{L}_{int}$

• The Higg
$$\phi_{ver}$$
 is calculated at the one in $p \to p \to (p)$

Thermal freeze-out



density evolution and $\Delta \mu \equiv \mu - \mu_0$



Gapped continuum in the final states

•
$$\sigma \sim \int \frac{d\mu_2^2}{2\pi} \rho(\mu_2^2) \hat{\sigma}(\mu_1, \mu_2)$$
 $DM(\mu_1) + DM$

• continuum state decay (CMB)

 $\Phi(\mu_1) \rightarrow \Phi(\mu_2) + SM$

• DM direct detection

 $\Phi(\mu_1) + SM \rightarrow \Phi(\mu_2) + SM$

• colliders



Conclusion



<Gapped Continuum> $P(p^2) \land p^2$

Weakly Interacting Continuum new continuum hidden sector collider signals

superfluid Helium to detect dark matter EFT \rightarrow simulation \rightarrow experiment